Assessment of physical and immunological characters of an inactivated avian influenza (H5N1) vaccine prepared using Montanide oil 71™ ISA-RVG as adjuvant
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A B S T R A C T

An inactivated oil-emulsion H5N1 avian influenza (AI) vaccine was experimentally formulated with 3 parts of an inactivated avian influenza virus [A/chicken/Egypt/Q1995D/2010 strain-1 and A/duck/Egypt/M2583A/2010 strain-2] emulsified in 7 parts of oil adjuvant. The prepared vaccine was sterile and safe. This water in oil (W/O) emulsion showed zero mS/cm conductivity and 22.67 m.p.a.s viscosity; this low viscosity reflected on easy injection of the vaccine emulsion. In addition, long duration of stability for 24 months at +4°C. Cell mediated immune response of chicks vaccinated at 21 days age were assessed using lymphocyte blastogenesis and phagocytic activity showed high values from the 3rd day post vaccination (DPV) and continued till 21st DPV. Serum antibody titer against avian influenza virus (AIV) H5N1 was increased from the 1st week post vaccination (WPV) and persisted in high values till 31st WPV using hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test. Efficacy of the prepared vaccine showed 100% protection in challenged vaccinated chicks. In conclusion, the prepared AI subtype H5N1 vaccine on Montanide ISA-71 showed good humoral and cellular immune responses that could cover two varieties of H5N1 subtypes circulating in Egypt.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Avian influenza (AI) is a viral disease caused by type A influenza viruses belonging to family Orthomyxoviridae. It causes mild to severe infection in a wide range of domestic birds with the wild bird species are considered to represent the virus natural reservoirs (Olsen et al., 2006).

The virus particle has an envelope with glycoprotein projection with haemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) activity. These two surface antigens are the basis of the serologic identity of the influenza virus using the letters H and N with the appropriated numbers in the virus designation. There are 16 HA and 9 NA antigens described among the type A influenza virus (Fouchier et al., 2005; Dugan et al, 2008). AIV have been isolated from more than 90 species of free living birds representing 13 different orders (Alexander, 1982; Alexander and Gough,
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1986; Stallknecht and Shane, 1988; Manvell et al., 2000). AIV can be classified into two categories; low Pathogenic Avian Influenza (LPAI) and High Pathogenic (HPAI) form, based on the severity of illness caused in domestic birds (Capua and Alexander, 2004). Avian influenza viruses (AIVs) of various subtypes are circulating in poultry (Jeong et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010). Outbreak of HPAIV H5N1 began in 2003 and continued to spread to almost all Asian countries as well as some countries in Europe and Africa (OIE 2008). In Egypt, HPAIV H5N1 was first reported in poultry in 2006 and was pronounced to be enzootic in 2008 (Aly et al., 2008 and Peyre et al., 2009). It caused severe disease and high mortality in chickens in production farms and village-based production and a great hazard to humans (Aly et al., 2006).

Vaccination is one of the most important control measures against H5N1 highly pathogenic AIV. The world practice showed high effectiveness of counter-epidemic measures in complex with vaccine prophylaxis as it was demonstrated in the USA, Mexico, Pakistan, China, Vietnam, Indonesia, Sudan and Egypt (Peyre et al., 2007). Quality of the adjuvant emulsion has direct impact on the safety and efficacy of the vaccine, so good physical properties of the inactivated oil vaccine could in turn increase the immune response to this vaccine. High quality oil emulsion vaccine should be stable, with low viscosity to ease injectability and produce suitable Hemagglutinating inhibiting antibody titer in vaccinated birds (stone et al, 1983).

Water-in-oil emulsion (W/O) requires high shear homogenization to get stable formulation (Salager 2000: Lissant 1984). So, physiochemical characterization of an emulsion using droplet test, conductivity, viscosity, particle size and stability at various temperatures were required.

The present study was designed to formulate an inactivated H5N1 AIV vaccine using Montanide™ ISA 71R VG as adjuvant that would be reflected on the immunological response on vaccinated birds.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. AI Virus strains:

AI (H5N1) vaccinal strain: The Highly pathogenic (HP) Reasortant Avian Influenza Virus (H5N1) subtype Egypt/Re-1&2 strains seed virus obtained from National Research Center (NRC), [A/chicken/Re-1/2010 (strain-1) and A/duck/M2583A/2010 (strain-2)]. The virus strains were egg adapted for 8th passage on specific pathogen free-embryonated chicken egg (SPF-ECE) with HA titer 210 /50 µl and infectivity titer 108 EID50/0.1ml (El-Shesheny et al., 2014). It was used for preparation of the inactivated (H5N1) vaccine.

AI H5N1 challenge strain: Virulent strain of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (HPAIV) H5N1 subtype (A/Chicken/Egypt/1063/2010) obtained from NRC, with infectivity titer of 105 EID50/ml used for challenging of vaccinated chicks and kept under observation for 2 weeks.

2.2. Montanide ISA 71 VG:

It was obtained from SEPPIC S.A, Paris La Defense. 92806 Puteaux. CEDEX France. Batch No T21931, Product code 36514P. It is a mineral oil-based adjuvant that has been developed for manufacture of water-in-oil (W/O) emulsion.

2.3. Embryonated Chicken Eggs (ECEs):

Specific pathogen free embryonated chicken eggs (SPF– ECEs) were purchased from the specific pathogen free egg project, Kom
Oshim, El-Fayoum Governorate. The eggs were incubated at 37°C and 80% humidity until inoculated at 9-11 days of age via allantoic sac route. They were used for propagation & titration of the seed influenza viruses used for preparation of the vaccinal patches and testing the safety of prepared inactivated virus suspensions.

2.4. Experimental chicks:
Two hundred and ten (210), one-day-old chicks were purchased from specific pathogen free poultry project, Kom Oshim, EL-Fayoum Governorate. They were floor reared, fed on commercial poultry ration, and kept under strict hygienic measures throughout the experiment. The chicks were used for studying the safety and evaluating of the prepared vaccines.

2.5. Vaccine formulation:
**Propagation of the Virus in SPF-ECEs:**
Propagation of AIV [H5N1] strains propagation in SPF-ECEs was applied according to Garcia et al. (1998).

**Rapid Plate Hemagglutination (HA) Test:**
It was used for detection of AIV n harvested egg fluid and carried out according to the standard method described by Anon (1971).

**Virus Titration in SPF-ECEs:**
Estimation EID_{50} of the viruses used were calculated according to Reed and Meunch (1938).

**Inactivation of Viruses:**
Formalin working solution (0.37) formalin, HCHO, 37% Analar, BDH, it was diluted in 1:10 formalin to saline ratio in AIVs according to OIE manual, (2004).

**Vaccine preparation:**
Inactivated Monovalent [H5N1] Montanide™ ISA 71-RVG oil adjuvanted vaccine was prepared as water in oil emulsion by mixing 30 g of the inactivated AI virus Strain H5N1 mixed in 70 g of Montanide™ ISA 71 RVG oil adjuvant according to (Ben Arous et al., 2013).

2.6. Quality control of the prepared vaccines:
**Sterility test:**
Experimental batch of the prepared vaccine was tested for sterility and freedom from any fungal or bacterial contaminants by culturing on specific media (Saburaoud glucose agar searching for fungus contamination after incubation at 25°C for 14 days, Nutrient agar media and Thioglycolate broth searching for aerobic and anaerobic bacterial contamination, respectively after incubation at 37°C for 72 hours).

**Safety test:**
A group of 10 chicks of 3 weeks old were inoculated with 2 field doses (1ml) of the prepared vaccine at the nap of the neck in addition to a control non-vaccinated group. The vaccinated chicks were observed for 2 weeks for any signs of local reaction or appearance of any clinical signs. After 5 days of inoculation, some birds were subjected to post mortem examinations to detect any pathological lesions.

**Physical stability:**
Physical properties of the emulsions were determined as describe by Brugh et al. (1983) and stone (1987), as Drop test, Real time test and Rheology test (Viscosity test).

2.7. Experimental design:
Two hundred, one-day old SPF chicks were housed in brooder units within isolation facilities till they became 21 days of age, then it divided into 2 main groups (100 chicks/each). Group1 vaccinated with inactivated AI (H5N1) vaccine. Group2 Control (non-vaccinated). Each vaccinated chick received 0.5 ml of the prepared vaccine subcutaneously. Chicks in both groups were used for evaluation of cell mediated and humoral immune response as well as a challenge experiment was carried out to
determine the potency of the prepared vaccines.

2.8. Samples:
Whole blood samples:
Jugular blood samples from vaccinated and non-vaccinated chicks were collected with anticoagulant (Heparin 20-40 IU/ml) at 3, 7, 10, 14, 21 and 28 days post vaccinations for lymphocyte blastogenesis assay and phagocytic activity test.
Serum samples:
Serum samples were collected from all chicks (vaccinated and non-vaccinated) weekly till 10th week post vaccination then every 2 week till the 31st week post vaccination. The sera were inactivated at 56°C for 30 minutes, and then stored at -20°C until used in HI test.

2.9. Evaluation of cellular immune response for prepared vaccine:
Evaluation of lymphocyte transformation:
Separation of lymphocytes, determination of viable cell number, and setting up of lymphocytes was performed depending on the instructions of cell proliferation (XTT) kit (ATCC, USA) and the test was performed according to Scudiero et al., (1988). The test was applied according to the method described by Lucy, (1977) and Lee, (1984). Evaluation of phagocytic activity of chicken macrophages by using Candida Albicans:
Separation of macrophages by ficoll hypaque and cultivation of mononuclear cells were performed according to Richardson and Smith, (1981) and modified by Hussien, (1989). The percent of phagocytosis and phagocytic index was calculated as follow:

\[
\text{phagocytic percentage} = \frac{\text{no of phagocytes which ingest Candida}}{\text{total no of phagocytes}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{phagocytic index} = \frac{\text{total no of phagocytes which ingest more than two Candida}}{\text{total no of phagocytes which ingest Candida}}
\]

Evaluation of the humoral immune response for prepared vaccines using Hemagglutination (HA) and Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test:
The Hemagglutination (HA) and hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test were carried out following the recommendation of (OIE-Manual, 2004). The reagents required for the test are isotonic PBS (0.1 M), pH 7.0–7.2, citrated chicken red blood cells (RBCs) was taken from adult SPF chicken. Cells were washed three times in PBS before use as a 1% (packed cell v/v) suspension. The used antigens in HI test were prepared from the pure, well identified homologous AIVs (A/chicken/Q1995D/2010) and (A/duck/M2583A/2010) and the pure, well identified heterologous AIV-challenge strain (A/Chicken/Egypt/1063/2010), (Swayne and Kapczynski, 2008; OIE, 2015). Positive and negative control antigens and antisera should be run with each test, as appropriate.

2.10. Challenge of chicks vaccinated with AI vaccine:
Groups of SPF chickens vaccinated at 3 weeks of age were challenged at 28 days post vaccination using virulent AI virus H5N1 as 0.1 ml intranasally. Group 1 of vaccinated chicks (50 birds) were challenged with local Egyptian HPAI H5N1 isolates containing 105 EID/ml (OIE 2015). A group of chicks (50 birds) were kept as control unvaccinated and challenged with the same dose of the challenge virus. Birds were observed daily for 10 days post challenge (pc). Three days pc, the morbidity and mortality rates were recorded for each group till the end of the observation period to measure the protection %.

\[
\text{Protection} \% = \frac{\text{No. of survival}}{\text{total No. of challenge of birds}} \times 100
\]

3. RESULTS
Propagation and titration of Avian Influenza (H5N1) strains on SPF-ECEs:
Propagated AI virus (H5N1) strains on 10 day old, SPF-ECE had an infectivity titers on
SPF-ECE and HA titers $10^9$ EID$_{50}$/ml and $2^{10}$ HA activity /50 μl, respectively.

**Sterility and safety of the prepared vaccine:**

The prepared vaccines were free from aerobic and anaerobic bacteria and fungi. They were completely inactivated as indicated by absence of any pathological lesions, HA activity and/or deaths of inoculated embryos being inoculated in 9 days old, SPF-ECEs through the allantoic sac and candled daily for 6 days. There was no local or systemic reaction and no mortalities among vaccinated chicks indicating safety of the prepared AI vaccines.

**Assessment of physical characters of the prepared vaccine:**

The prepared vaccine was ensured to be water-in-oil (w/o) emulsion type using drop test, this W/O emulsion showed zero mS/cm conductivity, 22.67 m.p.a.s viscosity and long duration stability for 24 months at +4°C with no separation as water release or oil release as shown in table (1).

**Cell mediated immune response:**

Significant cell proliferation expressed by optical density was induced in vaccinated chicks from the 3rd day post vaccination (DPV) and increased to reach a maximum value 14th DPV. This result was compared with that of non-vaccinated chicks kept as negative control that had no lymphocyte proliferation as shown in table (2). Both phagocytic percent and phagocytic index of macrophages were significantly increased 7th DPV in vaccinated chicks to reach maximum values at 14th DPV, when compared with that of non-vaccinated chicks kept as negative control that had lower macrophage activity as shown in table (3).

**Humoral immune response:**

It was noticed that vaccinated chicks showed increased mean log2 HI antibody titer (4.33 log2) from the 1st week post vaccination (WPV), then reached the highest HI antibody titer (9.66 log2) at the 4th WPV, then declined to (4.3 log2) at the 31st WPV. The results were compared with that of non-vaccinated chicks kept as negative control that had no antibody against H5N1 as shown in table (4).

**Potency of the prepared vaccine:**

Both vaccinated and non-vaccinated control chicks were challenged 28 days post vaccination using virulent strain of HPAIV H5N1 subtype. The protection percent were 100% in vaccinated chicks compared with 0% for control non-vaccinated chicks as shown in table (5).

Table 1: Stability values of the inactivated AI (H5N1) vaccine with Montanide oil ISA 71™-RVG as adjuvant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of check post manufacture</th>
<th>+ 4°C</th>
<th>+ 25°C</th>
<th>+ 37°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Water release15% and oil phase10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Water release15% and oil phase10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Water drop</td>
<td>Water release15% and oil phase10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15 months Stable Water release 15% Water release15% and oil phase10%
18 months Stable Water release 20% Water release25% and oil phase15%
21 months Stable Water release 35% Water release25% and oil phase15%
24 months Stable Water release 35% Water release25% and oil phase15%

Table 2: lymphocyte blastogenesis of chicks vaccinated with inactivated AIV (H5N1) vaccine with Montanide oil ISA 71™ -RVG as adjuvant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days post vaccination</th>
<th>Cell proliferation expressed by optical density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaccinated chicks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>0.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>1.4405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>1.5205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>1.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21th</td>
<td>0.8295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28th</td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Macrophage activity of chicks vaccinated with inactivated AIV (H5N1) vaccine with Montanide oil ISA 71™ -RVG as adjuvant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days post vaccination</th>
<th>Phagocytic activities days post vaccination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phagocytic %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaccinated chicks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>66.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>88.88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Mean log₂ HI antibody titers in chicks vaccinated with inactivated AIV (H5N1) vaccine with Montanide oil ISA 71™ -RVG as adjuvant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weeks post vaccination</th>
<th>Mean log₂ HI serum antibody titer for AIV H5N1 /ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Protection percent in chicks vaccinated with inactivated AIV (H5N1) vaccine with Montanide oil ISA 71™-RVG as adjuvant after their challenge with virulent strain of (HPAIV) H5N1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Vaccinated chicks</th>
<th>Control chicks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Challenged</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection Percent</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DISCUSSION

Vaccination is one of the most important control measures of AI (Peyre et al., 2007). The nature of the adjuvant has coordinate effect on the safety and efficacy of the vaccine. High quality vaccine adjuvant should be stable, with low viscosity to ease injectability and produce suitable immune response in vaccinated birds (stone et al, 1983). This work was planned to prepare and assess physical and immunological characters of an inactivated avian influenza (H5N1) vaccine prepared using Montanide oil 71™ ISA-RVG as adjuvant.

Avian influenza strains (H5N1) were separately propagated in 10 days old, SPF-ECE, and the allantoic fluid was harvested and tested for sterility. The virus titers calculated on SPF-ECE using infectivity titration and HA test was 9 log10 EID50/ml and 10 log2 HAU/50µl, respectively.

AI virus (H5N1) strains were inactivated separately by formalin 0.1% and were completely inactivated after 18 hrs, which as proved by absence of any pathological lesions, HA activity and/or deaths of inoculated embryos. This result comes in agreement with OIE manual, (2004).

The inactivated AI virus (H5N1) strains were used as the seed virus for vaccine preparation (OIE, 2008) in the formula of W/O emulsion using Montanide™ ISA 71 RVG adjuvant according to the instructions of the manufacturing company, SEPPIC, France. The W/O emulsion vaccine need high shear homogenization to get stable formulation (Lissant, 1984 and Salager, 2000). The prepared vaccine was ensured to be water-in-oil (w/o) emulsion type using drop test, this W/O emulsion showed zero mS/cm conductivity. These results were agreed with (Lissant 1984 and Salager 2000).

Vaccine viscosity will reflect on the degree of resistance by which the vaccine flows which reflects on the injectability of vaccine. The prepared vaccine had 22.67 m.pas viscosity and this result agree with acceptance limits of viscosity of vaccine emulsion ranged between 12≥R≤56 mpa.s. (European pharmacopeia, 2010), indicating suitable flow time and easy injectability.

The prepared vaccine was ensured to have long duration stability for 24 months at +4C° with no separation as water release or oil release as shown in table (1), (Ben Arous et al., 2013 and El-Sayed, 2014).

The prepared vaccine was ensured to be sterile and safe. No bacterial or fungal contaminants were shown on specific bacteriologic and fungal media. In addition, absence of local and systemic reactions and no mortalities were recorded in inoculated chicks which denoted to the safety of the prepared vaccines (OIE-Manual, 2004).

Assessment of cell mediated immune response showed significant lymphocyte
proliferation in vaccinated chicks from the 3rd day post vaccination (DPV) and increased to reach a maximum value 14th DPV. This result was compared with that of non-vaccinated chicks kept as negative control that had lower lymphocyte proliferation as shown in table (2). Both phagocytic percent and phagocytic index of macrophages were significantly increased 7th DPV in vaccinated chicks to reach maximum values at 14th DPV, when compared with that of non-vaccinated chicks kept as negative control that had no macrophage activity as shown in tables (3). These results indicated clearly that chicken vaccinated with oil emulsion vaccine greatly stimulated the cellular immune response as estimated by lymphocyte proliferation test (Madkour 1992). Values of cellular immune response decrease at later stages once the humoral immune response become established (Timms and Bracemell, 1983).

High immunogenicity of the inactivated AI virus H5N1-vaccine emulsified using Montanide™ ISA-70 as oil adjuvant were shown in table (4). HI antibodies were detected in 14 days and reached their peak of in the 6th week post vaccination (Zhailyaubay et al., 2010). Suitable HI antibody titers also appeared 7 to 10 days after vaccination which reached the peak at 3 to 4 weeks and last up to 6 to 12 months (Swayne et al., 1997, Swayne et al., 2000 and Qiau et al., 2006).

The protection percent were 100% in vaccinated chicks with the prepared vaccine (table 5).

Similar results showing hemagglutinating antibodies were detected in 14 days and reached their peak in the 6th WPV, protection percent were 100% against infection since 28 day post vaccination up to 150 days; then slowly going down to 80% (the rate sufficient for ensuring safety of the vaccinated poultry) by the 360th day post vaccination (Zhailyaubay et al., 2010).

In conclusion, it was found that the prepared inactivated AI (H5N1) vaccine with Montanide™ ISA 71-RVG oil adjuvant. Improved the physical properties of prepared vaccine as stability and inject ability and have a positive effect on cellular immune responses and improved the obtained serum antibody responses as assured by challenge test.
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