Aflatoxins residues in chicken and turkey tissues
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ABSTRACT

Total aflatoxins residues were detected in chicken and turkey tissues "muscles and liver " by using HPLC. Results revealed a significant difference variation among liver and muscles in examined chicken and turkey’s samples (p values <0.05). These indicated that liver is the reservoir place of total aflatoxins residues. The means average of Aflatoxin B1, G1, B2, G2 and total aflatoxins residues respectively, in examined chicken liver samples were 17.3+/−3.3 µg/kg, 13.5+/−2.1µg/kg, 7.6+/−4.8 µg/kg ,1.5+/−0.9 µg/kg and 22.8+/−4.1µg/kg. while in examined muscles samples they were 6.5+/−1.03 µg/kg, 4+/−1.4 µg/kg, 1.7+/−0.6 µg/kg, 0.7+/−0.3 µg/kg and 8.9+/−1.5 µg/kg. On the other side the means for Aflatoxin B1, G1, B2, G2 and total aflatoxins residues respectively, in examined turkey liver samples they were 15.6+/−2.7 µg/kg ,13+/−4.2µg/kg, 6.1+/−0.5 µg/kg, 2.2+/−0.6 µg/kg and 24.5+/−4.7 µg/kg, while in turkey muscles samples were 6.3+/−1.5 µg/kg, 4+/−0.2 µg/kg, 2.9+/−1.3 µg/kg, 0.6+/−0.3 µg/kg and 9.3+/−2.5µg/kg.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are natural secondary toxic metabolite products of mould fungi, that contaminate our foodstuff. Dance et al. (2003), Aflatoxins are major toxic carcinogenic substances produced by Aspergillus flavus, A. parasiticus. Generally, aflatoxin B1, G1, B2, and G2 are common types of aflatoxins (Kalcher et al., 2007). Less qualified and less hygienic handling of carcasses on preparation after slaughtering beside improper evisceration, that in role lead to highly bacterial count. In addition to bad chilling proliferate mould contamination so food spoilage occur that enhance toxins production as aflatoxins (Lacumin et al., 2009; Martín-Sánchez et al., 2011). Aflatoxins naming according to blue (B) or green (G) fluorescence emitted under ultraviolet light and their migration properties within chromatography (Diekman and Green, 1992). Liver is the harbor site for the accumulation of aflatoxins residues than other tissues in frozen chicken recorded by (Darwish et al., 2016). Accumulation of aflatoxins residues in human in acute cases is lethal due to intoxication, in chronic cases leads to hepatocellular carcinoma (Bennett and Klich, 2003; Miliță et al., 2010). Aflatoxins were classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer and belonged to group I that included substances which are carcinogenic for humans according to IARC (1993) and Talebi et al. (2011) who pronounced that aflatoxin B1 is the extremely toxic type and belonging to the main group of carcinogenicity in human.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Total aflatoxins standards were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) of 99% purity. Preparing of working solution were in acetonitrile and stored at -20 ºC in amber glass vials over a period of 12 months.

2.1. Field survey tissue sampling plane

A grand total of 60 chicken and turkey tissue samples "30 of each". The samples represented as 15 samples of each tissues "muscles and liver". The samples were collected from special abattoir in Qalyobyam and Giza Governorate as fresh samples. Each sample was represented by 20 grams. The samples were placed in plastic bags...
then transferred to the laboratory without undue delay in an ice box.

2.2. Apparatus and Equipment


2.3. Chemical reagents

Deionized water (HPLC-grade). Acetonitrile, Methanol "HPLC grade" were obtained from Sigma Alderish and Acetic acid diluted in water. 20% citric acid from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), dichloromethane, nitrogen evaporator, Hexane, petroleum ether, acetone, and trifloroacetic acid were obtained from Fischer scientific (Leicestershire, UK).

2.4. Analytical Procedures

2.4.1. Preparation of samples for analysis

The chicken and turkey samples were homogenized and frozen till the time of analysis at -20 ºc in the dark.

2.4.2. Extraction of aflatoxins

Extraction of total aflatoxins residues from Chicken and turkey tissues was done according to Abd El Monem et al. (2015). SPE (Solid Phase Extraction) and derivatization step done according to Kalantari et al. (1999), twenty µl were injected into HPLC (Anklam et al., 2002).

2.4.3. Liquid chromatographic conditions

Injection volume, 20 µl, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min to achieve the optimum resolution of the aflatoxins. The column temperature was at 30 ºC, fluorescence detector. Liquid Chromatographic mobile phase: Isocratic mode using 60:20:20 water/methanol/acetonitrile mixture as the mobile phase according to Abd El Monem et al. (2015).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The obtained results were statistically evaluated by using t test according to Feldman et al. (2003).

3. RESULTS

The achieved results in table (1) declared the average mean of total aflatoxins residues in chicken samples, the average mean of aflatoxin B1 in examined chicken muscles and liver samples were higher than other types. The average means among chicken muscles and liver samples showed highly significant variation, as the residues in liver were higher than in muscles. The results in table (2) revealed that the average means of total aflatoxins residues in turkey samples. The mean of aflatoxin B1 was the highest in both turkey muscles and liver samples. Whereas the residual levels of total aflatoxins in liver were higher than that in muscle.

4. DISCUSSION

Due to the toxicological and carcinogenic impact of aflatoxins residues on human health and shortage on studies of aflatoxin residues in turkeys our study includes detecting the residues on aflatoxins types "B1, B2, G1, G 2 and total aflatoxins residues" in chicken and turkeys.

Table (1) Statistical analytical results of total aflatoxins residues µg/kg in examined chicken tissues (n=15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aflatoxins residues</th>
<th>Muscles positive Samples</th>
<th>Liver positive samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aflatoxin B1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aflatoxin G1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aflatoxin B2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aflatoxin G2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Aflatoxins</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S.E = Standard error of mean  significant differences as p <0.05 using t test
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Table (2): Statistical analytical results of total aflatoxins residues in examined Turkey samples (n= 15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aflatoxins residues</th>
<th>Muscles positive</th>
<th>Liver positive</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean +/-SE</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean +/-SE</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxin B1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 +/-1.5</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.6 +/-2.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxin G1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 +/-0.2</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.1 +/-4.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxin B2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9 +/-1.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.1 +/-0.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxin G2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 +/-0.3</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 +/-0.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.3 +/-2.5</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.5 +/-4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE= Standard Error of mean Significant differences as p value <0.05 by using t test.

Figure (1): calibration curve of total Aflatoxins residues by HPLC.

Figure (2): chromatograms of total aflatoxins standards 1375 μg/kg.

Figure (3): chromatograms of total aflatoxins residues in chicken (3) liver, (4) turkey liver samples.
Figure (4): chromatograms of total aflatoxins residues in turkey liver samples.

The current results in table (1) were agreed with those achieved by Resanović (2000) and Saeed et al. (2003) who declared that however aflatoxins residues found in liver, muscles, stomach, kidneys, adipose tissue and meat but liver is the harbor site of aflatoxin residues. In the same line the results agreed with those obtained by Herzallah (2013) and Darwish et al. (2016) who reported that the highest concentration of AFB1 and total aflatoxins were in liver higher than in kidneys than in gizzard, while the lowest concentrations were in thigh and breast. AFB1 is the extremely potent carcinogenic type of aflatoxins that responsible for carcinogenicity that grouped a human carcinogen by world health organization (Anklam et al., 2002).

The present results are completely disagreed with those achieved by Abo El-Yazeed et al. (2015) who detected that the residual concentrations were higher in breast than in liver. These current results were higher than those obtained by Hussain et al., (2016) who declared that history of feeding on mycotoxins contaminated feed. Also, bad sanitary measures in abattoirs as contaminated water, air sources, contaminated tools, equipment, mishandling of carcasses on preparation after slaughtering beside improper evisceration. That in role led to higher bacterial count. In addition to bad chilling and mould contamination proliferate so food spoilage occur that enhance mycotoxin production as aflatoxins (Gracy, 1981; Kalcher et al., 2007). The present results were agreed with those reported by Giambrone et al. (1985), Leeson et al. (1995), Quist et al. (2000), Bintwihok (2001) and Diaz and Murcia (2011) who reported that turkeys are extremely sensitive to aflatoxins. Aflatoxicosis in turkeys pose a heavy economic loss. Contamination of turkey carcasses with AFB1 leads to a public health risk threatening human being because AFB1 is an extremely potent carcinogenic effect (Hammad et al., 2006).
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