Prevalence of *E. coli* in broiler chickens in winter and summer seasons by application of PCR with its antibiogram pattern.
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**A B S T R A C T**

A total of 205 chicken samples from apparently healthy broiler chickens (35 and 30), diseased broiler chickens (35 and 30) and freshly dead ones (35 and 40) were collected in winter (from December to February) and summer (from June to August) seasons, respectively from Menofya government. The results showed that the incidence of *E. coli* in apparently healthy broiler chickens was 15.7%, diseased broiler chickens 37.1% and in freshly dead ones 55% in winter season while in summer season was 15.8% in apparently healthy, 17.5% in diseased broiler chickens and 18.7% in freshly dead one. The serogroups of *E. coli* that obtained by serological identification were O128, O78, O111, O124, O55, O142, O114, O2 and O1. The results of antibiotic sensitivity test for isolated *E. coli* showed that the isolated *E. coli* were highly sensitive for norfloxacin (60%), gentamycin (50%), neomycin (50%), streptomycin (50%) and chloramphenicol (50%). moderately sensitive for doxycyclin (10%) and erythromycin (40%) and highly resistant for amoxacillin /clavulnic acid (0%). The results of multiplex PCR showed that eae A (intimin or *E. coli* attaching and effacing) gene detected in O128, O55, O2 and O2, OmpA(outer membrane protein) gene detected in all *E. coli* serogroups that isolated , stx1 gene not detected in all *E. coli* serogroups that isolated ,but stx2 gene detected in O114 and O128.
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**1. INTRODUCTION**

*Escherichia* (E.) coli typically colonize the gastrointestinal tract of warm-blooded animals within a few hours after birth. However, a large number of highly adapted *E. coli* pathogens have acquired specific virulence attributes (Kaper et al., 2004). Some pathotypes of *E. coli* are capable of causing intestinal diseases, while others referred to as extra intestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC), are responsible for extra intestinal infections. Avian pathogenic *E. coli* (APEC), fall under the category of ExPEC (Mellata, 2013) that induces different syndromes in poultry including, systemic and localized infections such as respiratory colibacillosis, acute colisepticemia, salpingitis, yolk sac infection, and swollen-head syndrome (Dho-Moulin and Fairbrother, 1999). Colibacillosis is a widespread disease, which is responsible for severe economic losses for the world’s poultry industries. The most common form of colibacillosis is characterized by an initial respiratory disease, which is usually followed by a systemic infection with characteristic fibrinous lesions (airsacculitis, perihepatitis and pericarditis) and fatal septicemia. The infection is generally initiated or enhanced by predisposing agents, such as mycoplasmal, viral infections and environmental factors (Dho-Moulin and Fairbrother, 1999); (Barnes et al., 2008). *E. coli* can survive in dry, dusty conditions for
Prevalence of E. coli in broiler chickens by application of PCR with its antibiogram pattern.

long periods and it has been shown that wetting the litter can reduce the incidence of colisepticaemia (Black, 1990), probably due to a reduction in the numbers of E. coli. Feed ingredients and water are often contaminated with pathogenic coliform and are common source of introducing new serotypes into a flock (Martins, et al., 2007). The species of E. coli are serologically divided in serogroups and serotypes on basis of their antigenic composition (somatic or O antigens for serogroups and flagella or H antigens for serotypes ). Many strains express a third class of antigens (capsular or K antigens) (Compos et al., 2004). Antimicrobial therapy is an important tool in reducing both the incidence and mortality associated with avian colibacillosis. The long-term use of antimicrobials for therapy and growth promotion in poultry resulted in drug resistance in Gram-negative pathogens (Singer and Hofacre, 2006). Serogrouping and detection of some virulence associated genes in randomly selected isolates using a previously designed multiplex PCR (Johnson et al., 2008). This study aimed to determine the prevalence, serotypes and antimicrobials susceptibility profile of avian pathogenic E. coli (APEC) strains in broiler farms in winter and summer seasons in Menofyieia Government, Egypt and detection of some virulence genes of the isolated strains by using PCR.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS
2.1. Chicken samples:
A total of 205 chicken samples from apparently healthy broiler chickens (35 and 30), diseased broiler chickens (35 and 30) and freshly dead ones (35 and 40) were collected in winter (from December to February) and summer (from June to August) seasons, respectively from Menofyieia government. The samples were collected from liver, Heart blood, kidneys and spleen.


2.2.1. Selective enrichment of E. coli:
Each sample was inoculated separately into buffer peptone water and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hrs under aerobic condition.

2.2.2. Colonization of E. coli:
On selective differential solid media, a loopful from the broth of each sample was streaked onto MacConkey's agar and Eosin Methylene blue agar. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Suspected E. coli colonies were purified and kept for further identification.

2.2.3. Identification of suspected E. coli colonies:
It was performed according to Quinn et al., (2002): On MacConkey's agar and Eosin Methylene blue agar (EMB).

2.2.4. Microscopic examination:
Gram's stain was prepared and used as described by Cruickshank et al., (1975) for morphological characterization.

2.2.5. Biochemical Identification:
According to Quinn et al., (2002) including Indole reaction, Methyl red test, Voges Proskauer test, Citrate utilization test, Catales test, Sugar fermentation test, Oxidase test, Triple sugar iron and Christener's urea agar test.

2.2.6. Serological identification of E. coli:
According to Edwards and Ewing, (1972) Isolated strains were serotyped in animal health research institute, Dokki, Giza using: Polyvalent and monovalent diagnostic E. coli antisera.

2.3. Antibacterial sensitivity test:
The disk diffusion technique was applied according to Cruickshank et al., (1975) Eight antibiotic discs were used (amoxacillin/claviulinc acid, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, doxycyclin, streptomycin, gentamycin, neomycin and...
norfloxacin). The interpretation of inhibition zones of tested culture was according to CLSI, (2012).

2.4. Virulence genes of E. coli detection by PCR

Multiplex PCR was applied by using four sets of primers for detection of four virulence genes that may play a role in virulence of APEC. These genes were eaeA (intimin or E. coli attaching and effacing gene); ompA (outer membrane protein); stx1 (shiga-toxin1 gene) and stx2 (shiga-toxin2 gene). It was applied on isolated E. Coli Following QIA amp DNA mini kit instructions (Catalogue no.51304); Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix (Takara) Code No. RR310A kit and agarose gel electrophoreses by Sambrook et al., (1989).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Incidence of E. coli infection in broiler chicken samples in winter and summer seasons:

Morphologically E. coli isolates were gram-ve rods appeared as pink colonies when cultured on MacConkey media and green metallic colonies on EMB medium. Biochemically, all E. coli suspected isolates were lactose fermenting colonies, positive indole, methyl red, and Catalase. Meanwhile all isolates were negative oxidase, urea hydrolysis, citrate utilization, Voges-Proskauer and didn’t produce H2S. The prevalence of suspected E. coli isolates from dead chickens was 55%, followed by diseased broiler chickens was 37.1% and from apparently healthy broiler chickens was 15.7% in winter and isolated from dead chickens 18.7%, followed by diseased broiler chickens was 17.5% and from apparently healthy broiler chickens was 15.8% in summer season. This indicate that the prevalence of E. coli isolates is higher in winter than summer Table (1).

3.2. Recovery rate of E. coli from internal organs:

The high incidence of E. coli was recovered from liver 39.04% and 27%, followed by fresh heart blood 36.2% and 25%, spleen 35.2% and 9% and kidneys 33.3% and 9% both in winter and summer seasons, respectively. Table (2 and 3).

3.3. Serotyping of E. coli isolates isolated from examined broiler chicken’s samples:

The most commonly detected E. coli serogroups were O128, O78, O111, O114, O55, O124, O142, O1 and O2 (Table, 4).

3.4. Antibiotic sensitivity test of the isolated E. coli strains:

By using different eight antibiotic discs we found that the isolated E. coli were highly sensitive for norfloxacin (60%), gentamycin (50%), neomycin (50%), streptomycin (50%) and chloramphenicol(50%). moderately sensitive for doxycyclin (10%) and erythromycin (40%) and highly resistant for amoxicillin /clavulinic acid (0%). (Table, 5)

3.5. PCR for Detection of some virulence Genes of E.coli:

The results of multiplex PCR showed that eae A gene detected in O128, O55, O1 and O2, OmpA gene detected in all E. coli serogroups that isolated O78, O111, O128, O55, O2, O1, O142, O114 and O124, stx1 gene not detected in all E.coli serogroups that isolated, but stx2 gene detected in O114 and O128. (Table ,6) (Figure 1,2,3,4).

1. DISCUSSION

E. coli is considered a member of the normal microflora of the poultry intestine but certain strains such as those designated as avian pathogenic E. coli (APEC) spread into various internal organs and cause colibacillosis characterized by systematic fatal disease (Someya et al., 2007). Typing of isolated bacteria including E. coli could be achieved by phenotypic and/or genotypic protocols. The phenotypic characteristic method used for identification of E. coli includes the morphological and
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Table (1) Incidence of *E. coli* infection in winter and summer seasons in chicken samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of examined sample</td>
<td>No. of +ve sample</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparently health sample</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseased</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshly dead</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% were calculated according to the numbers of examined broiler chickens.

Table (2): Incidence of *E. coli* infection in different organs in winter season:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Heart blood</th>
<th>Spleen</th>
<th>Kidney</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of +ve samples</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No of +ve samples</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No of +ve samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparently health sample</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseased</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshly dead</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39.04</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% were calculated according to number of examined broiler chicken samples.

Table (3): Incidence of *E. coli* infection in different organs in summer season:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Heart blood</th>
<th>Spleen</th>
<th>Kidney</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of +ve samples</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No of +ve samples</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No of +ve samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparently health sample</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseased</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshly dead</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% were calculated according to number of examined broiler chicken samples
Table (4) Serotyping of *E. coli* isolates recovered from chicken sample:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated serogroups</th>
<th>No. of isolates</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O_{128}:H_2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_{78}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_{111}:H_4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_{124}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_{85}:H_7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_{142}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_{2}:H_6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_{114}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_{1}:H_7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% were calculated according to number of isolated serogroups.

Table (5) Result of antibiotics sensitivity of *E. coli* by disc diffusion method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>AMC</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>NOR</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table (6): The results of PCR amplifications of different used genes of *E. coli* serogroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eaeA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1(O_{78})</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(O_{111}:H_2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(O_{114}:H_2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4(O_{128}:H_2)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(O_{55}:H_7)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(O_{2}:H_6)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(O_{142})</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(O_{124})</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- eaeA (intimin or *E. coli* attaching and effacing gene), ompA (outer membrane protein). stx2(shiga-toxin2 gene), stx1(shiga-toxin1 gene).
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Figure (1): Results of PCR for amplification of \textit{ompA} gene of \textit{E.coli} serogroups. Lane L: 100-1500bp DNA Ladder. Neg.: Negative control. Pos.: Positive control. Lane 1,2,3,8: \textit{E.coli} O\textsubscript{78}&O\textsubscript{111}:H\textsubscript{4} & O\textsubscript{114}:H\textsubscript{2}&O\textsubscript{124} (positive). Lane 4,5: \textit{E.coli} O\textsubscript{128}:H\textsubscript{2}&O\textsubscript{55}:H\textsubscript{7} (Positive). Lane 6,7: \textit{E.coli} O\textsubscript{2}:H\textsubscript{6}&O\textsubscript{142} (Positive)

Figure (2): Results of PCR for amplification of \textit{eaeA} gene of \textit{E.coli} serogroups. Lane L: 100-600bp DNA Ladder. Neg.: Negative control. Pos.: Positive control. Lane 1, 2, 3, 8: \textit{E. coli} O\textsubscript{78}&O\textsubscript{111}:H\textsubscript{4} & O\textsubscript{114}:H\textsubscript{2}& O\textsubscript{124} (Negative). Lane 4,5: O\textsubscript{128}:H\textsubscript{2}&O\textsubscript{55}:H\textsubscript{7} (Positive). Lane 6,7: O\textsubscript{2}:H\textsubscript{6}& O\textsubscript{142} (Positive)
biochemical tests. Most of these techniques are not sufficiently sensitive to distinguish between different strains and they are affected by physiological factors (Fantasia et al., 1990).

Therefore, serological protocol was established to differentiate *E. coli* isolates. Regarding the morphological characters used for identification of *E. coli*, depend on that *E. coli* isolates are Gram-negative rods with pink colonies when cultured on MacConkey agar media, green metallic colonies on EMB medium. Nearly similar results were noted by Kumar et al., (1996) and Hogan and larry (2003). Bacteriological study was conducted on 820 randomly collected organ samples from apparently healthy broiler chickens, diseased broiler chickens and freshly dead ones including liver, fresh heart blood, kidneys and spleen isolated from four broiler
farms located in Menofyiea government in winter and summer seasons revealed that *E. coli* isolates was recovered from 221 samples with overall prevalence 27.3%. This study revealed that the *E. coli* isolates were isolated from 26.9% (221 out of 820) broiler chickens samples originated from different sources including; Fresh heart blood 30.7% (63 out of 205), Liver 33.1% (68 out of 205), Kidneys 21.5% (44 out of 205) and Spleen 22.4% (46 out of 205). These results are agreed to some extend with that obtained by Abd El Tawab, (2014) who isolated *E. coli* at a percentage of 38%. From the above mentioned results, it is obvious that *E. coli* isolates were recovered from poultry farms with higher prevalence from liver samples followed by Fresh heart blood, spleen and kidneys. Nearly similar result obtained by El Sayed et al., (2015). The incidence of *E. coli* among examined chickens in winter was 60.9% and this percentage was higher than that in summer 41%. This variation may be attributed to defects in the environmental and hygienic condition in poultry farms in winter as bad ventilation, overcrowding and high amount of ammonia in air also may be due to high incidence of *E. coli* in water, feed, litter and air in winter than in summer. These results agreed with those obtained by Nehal, (2009), Mahajan et al., (1994) and Ayoub, (2007).

It was observed that several serotypes were recovered from clinical cases of broiler chickens with different *E. coli* infection as 

\[ O_{128}, O_{78}, O_{111}, O_{124}, O_{55}, O_{114}, O_{142}, O_{2} \text{ and } O_{1} \]

(Table, 4). Similarly *E. coli* serotypes had been previously isolated from chicken and newly hatched chicks in Egypt as reported by Abd El-Haleem, (2000) were 

\[ O_{78} \text{ and } O_{111} \]

Taha et al., (2002) was O2, El-Sayed et al., (2015) were 

\[ O_{111}, O_{55}, O_{142} \text{ and } O_{128} \]

and Reem, (2015) were 

\[ O_{142}, O_{1}, O_{55}, O_{128}, O_{114} \text{ and } O_{124} \]

respectively. The results of antibiotic sensitivity tests (Table, 5) revealed that gentamycin, doxycyclin, norfloxacin and chloramphenicol were the most proper antibiotics with the highest in vitro efficiency against the isolated *E. coli*. These results go in parallel with those obtained by Nehal, (2009), Sharada et al., (2010), Tapan et al., (2012) and Abd El Tawab, (2014). Results of antimicrobials sensitivity of serotyped *E. coli* recovered from broilers showed that the majority of *E. coli* isolates were sensitive to gentamycin (60%), norfloxacin (60%), streptomycin (50%), neomycin (50%) and chloramphenicol (50%). The results were nearly similar to that obtained by Sharada et al., (2010). The results of antibiogram in this study are in variance with the findings of other workers, indicating that antibiotic pattern varies with different isolates, time and development of multiple drug resistance among different *E. coli* isolates related to transmissible R factor/plasmid. The transmission of resistance plasmid of *E. coli* from poultry to human have also been reported Tapan et al., (2012). The results revealed that all *E. coli* isolates recovered from various chicken broiler samples were negative for *stx* in *E. coli* isolates (Table, 6) and (Figure, 3). Nearly similar findings were recorded by Ahmed (2011), Mona et al., (2013) and Homaira. et al., (2015). The results of PCR amplification of *Stx* gene in isolated *E. coli* strains showed that out of 8 *E. coli* isolates, one (O128) was positive for the *Stx* gene yielded a consistent fragment of 779 bp. (Table, 6) and (Figure, 4). These results substantiate what has been reported by Abd El Tawab, (2014). Concerning the examination of *E. coli* isolates for the detection of *intimin* (*eaeA*) gene demonstrated that four isolates (O128, O55, O1 and O2) out of eight isolates, yielded the expected size of 248 bp PCR amplification products for the *intimin* gene (Table, 6) and (figure, 2). These findings were nearly agreed with those obtained by Ahmed et al., (2007) and Ahmed Al-Ajmi, (2011). Finally, PCR amplification of *ompA* gene in isolated *E. coli* strains showed that the *ompA* gene was amplified in all *E. coli* serogroups that were isolated giving a PCR product of 919bp. (Table, 6) and (Figure ,1). Similar findings were recorded by Catana et al., (2008), Johson et al., (2008), and Zhao et al., (2009) who reported that *ompA* gene was found in all APEC isolates. It could be concluded that *E. coli* could have isolated
from examined samples in different farms under investigation in either winter or summer seasons. Also the isolation rate was higher in winter than in summer season and detection of some virulence genes from isolated serogroups by application of PCR.
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