Comparative immunopathological study of various intra-cloacal infectious bursal disease vaccine doses
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ABSTRACT

Infectious bursal disease (IBD) is a contagious immunosuppressive viral infection of young chickens. Intra-cloacal approach is effective for vaccinating chicks with high maternally derived IBD antibodies; however, the vaccinal traditional dose may be unsuitable for this route. A total of 60 one-day-old specific-pathogen-free (SPF) chicks were randomly distributed into four groups. Group-1: was control and chicks in group-2: were vaccinated with 10^3 TCID50 of D78 strain/ bird via intra-cloacal route, chicks in group-3: were vaccinated with a ten-fold higher dose (10^4 TCID50/bird) and chicks in group-4: were vaccinated with a dose of 10^4 TCID50 of D78 strain/bird using same approach. IBD antibody titters were measured at the 14th and 21st days of age. The immunosuppressive effects of different doses were determined through humoral immune response to avian influenza and Newcastle disease vaccines, the relative weight of primary lymphoid organs, and histopathologically evaluated bursal lesions. The results indicated that a dose even ten-fold higher than the usual dose to SPF chicks via intra-cloacal route caused neither morbidity nor mortality. All vaccine doses induced potent immune responses against IBD, but the highest IBD antibodies titters were observed in group-4 while IBD antibodies titters were decreased with increasing vaccine doses in other experimental groups. Even the vaccinated birds showed moderate histopathological lesions and the diminutive relative weight of bursae; there was no immunosuppressive effect in the immune response to other vaccines in group-4 and transient immunosuppressive effect in groups-2 and-3. All that proves the safety and effectiveness of various intra-cloacal vaccination approach doses.

1. INTRODUCTION

Infectious bursal disease (IBD) is a contagious immunosuppressive disease affecting young chicks. It is caused by a double-stranded RNA infectious bursal disease virus, which belongs to the genus Avibirnavirus of the family Birnaviridae (Teshome et al., 2015). Prevention of IBD in young chicks depends mainly on active immunization with IBD live vaccines while the traditional routes of live vaccine application may result in vaccination failure due to vaccine neutralization by maternally derived antibodies (Eterradossi and Saif, 2019). Anatomically, the bursa of Fabricius is sac-like pouch derived from the dorsal aspect of the proctodeum which linked with the cloaca through a slot-like duct (Olåh et al., 2013). Cloacal drinking is a reflex stimulated by dripping the fluid onto the cloacal lips. That reflex subsequently leads to absorption of the fluids on the cloacal lips into the bursa of Fabricius (Sorvari et al., 1977; van der Sluis et al., 2009). Several studies were performed to assess the bursa antigentic absorption through cloacal drinking and its ability to induce an immune response (Sorvari et al., 1977; Schlink and Olson, 1987; de Azevedo and Betti, 1993; Uenaka et al., 1998). Abaza, et al., (2020) had been conduct a study to overcome the problem of vaccine neutralization by maternally derived antibodies through direct delivery the vaccinal strains to the bursa of Fabricius via the bursal duct with no effects on maternally-derived antibodies in the blood. The results of this study revealed that the novel intra-cloacal vaccination approach initiates a robust immune response against IBD at any age regardless of the level of maternally derived antibodies. Vaccinated chicks by the intra-cloacal vaccination route showed high protection against IBDV without immunosuppression effect or pathological changes in the bursa of Fabricius, in spite of early multiplication of the vaccine in bursal tissue. This vaccination approach gives optimistic results to overcome the problem of vaccine neutralization by maternally-derived antibodies and resolve the problem of the immunity gap (Abaza et al., 2020).

It is well known that during regular vaccination routes, the vaccine strains multiply in the intestine then make primary viremia to reach parenchymatous organs where the virus multiplies again. After that, a secondary viremia is initiated; thereby, the virus reaches the bursa of Fabricius. In addition to the titer of the vaccine strain which reach to bursa of Fabricius is not the titer of vaccinal dose. It may be amplified from multiplication in parenchymatous organs or diminished in long pathway from digestive system to bursa of Fabricius (Eterradossi and Saif, 2019). Thus, theoretically, it is expected that the ordinary IBD vaccine doses may not be suitable for the intra-cloacal vaccination approach. Simultaneously, reducing the vaccinal dose is associated with a significant decrease in the immune response (Belyakov & Ahlers, 2009; Hessel et al., 2009) as well as the overdose of the vaccine results in atrophy and fibrosis of
the bursae in one-day-old SPF chicks (Savic et al., 2004). Therefore, the current study aimed to compare the immunopathological responses of different intra-cloacal IBD vaccine doses in young chickens.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Ethics statement
The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animals Care and Use Committee, Research Ethics Board, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt, under the file number BUFVTM 05012019 for following animal welfare guidelines.

2.1. Vaccines
The used vaccines included 1) a commercially live IBD vaccine based on the D78 strain (10^3.5 TCID50 dose/bird) (Volvac IBD MLV®), 2) a live Newcastle disease (ND) vaccine applied according to the guidelines of the manufacturers and based on Hitchiner B1, and 3) an inactivated bivalent avian influenza (AI) H5N1 and ND vaccine (ME PLUVAC H5+ND-17®).

2.2. Birds
A total of 60 one-day-old SPF chicks (White Leghorn egg-laying breed) were obtained from Kound Osheim El-Fayoum, Egypt, and housed in isolation units of comparable size and management on litter. Feed and water were provided to the chicks ad libitum.

2.3. Experimental design
A total of 60 one-day-old SPF chicks were equally distributed into four groups (15 chicks/group). Group 1 non-vaccinated chicks (negative control group), while groups 2, 3 and 4 were vaccinated against IBD on the first day of age via the intra-cloacal route. Group 2 chicks were vaccinated with the ordinary dose (10^3.5 TCID50/bird). Meanwhile, Group 3 chicks were vaccinated with a dose ten-fold higher than that of the ordinary dose (10^4.5 TCID50/bird) to assess the immunopathological effect of a higher viral load and determine the safety of the vaccine when used via the intra-cloacal route according to (World Organization for Animal Health (OIE), 2012). Finally, to assess the effect of minimizing the direct viral load on the bursa, Group 4 chicks were vaccinated with a ten-fold lower dose than that of the ordinary dose (10^2.5 TCID50/bird). All birds were vaccinated on the 5th day of age with the ND live vaccine via eye-drop route; later, at the 10th day of age, they were subjected to subcutaneous vaccination with the inactivated bivalent AI H5N1 and ND vaccine. Mortality and morbidity rates were recorded along with the bird’s life. To identify potential differences in the immune response to the different IBD vaccine doses, IBD antibody titers were measured using ELISA in five serum samples from each group collected at 14th and 21st days of age. To evaluate the immune suppression effect of different vaccine doses, the humoral immune response to other vaccines (ND and AI) was measured using HI in five serum samples from each group at the 21st days of age. The relative weight of the primary lymphoid organs was estimated by euthanizing five chicks/group at the 7th, 14th, and 21st days of age, according to Tanimura et al., (1995). Finally, the bursae were collected from euthanized birds and fixed in 10% buffered formalin solution for further histopathological examination.

2.4. Serology
Blood for serology tests was collected from chicks in tubes at specific time according to experimental design then allowed to clot. The serum was aliquoted into Eppendorf tubes and stored at -20°C until laboratorial processing. Evaluation of antibody titers to IBDV was performed using ELISA (BioChek IBD Kit [CK113 IBD, Gouda, Netherlands]) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) tests for ND and AI were conducted using a 1% washed chicken red blood cell suspension and 4 HA units for ND and AI antigens, following standard procedures (OIE, 2012). HI results were recorded as reciprocal values of the highest dilution that showed complete button shape.

2.5. Pathology
Fixed bursae were routinely processed, and 4 µm-thick tissue paraffin sections were prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin stains (Banchroft et al., 1996). The severity of bursal lesions was determined by comparing the mean scores of depletion and necrosis calculated according to the study by Sharma et al., (1989).

2.6. Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed utilizing SPSS software (version 25.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences in IBD antibodies titers across the groups, the relative weight of immune organs, and the severity of bursal lesions were analyzed using the Two-Way Repeated Measures ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison tests. Differences among the groups in terms of the humeral immune response to ND and AI were analyzed via One-Way ANOVA and Duncan’s post hoc tests. Probability (P) values < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

3. RESULTS
Throughout the experiment, neither morbidity nor mortality was recorded in all groups. The IBD vaccine administered via the intra-cloacal route at different doses induced a potent IBD immune response. However, differences in vaccine doses were associated with significant differences in IBD-induced immune responses, as titers significantly decreased with increasing vaccine doses. Group 4 chicks exhibited the highest IBD virus antibody titers (Table 1). Moreover, all vaccinated birds showed a significant reduction in the relative weight of the bursa in comparison with non-vaccinated birds. While there were only non-significant differences in the relative weight of the bursa and other immune organs between the vaccinated groups with different doses (Table 2).

Concerning the histopathological examination of the bursae, the lesions varied among vaccinated and non-vaccinated birds. Moreover, the vaccine dose had a significant effect on bursal lesions.

Table 1 infectious bursal disease virus antibody titers after intra-cloacal vaccination as measured by ELISA over time according to the experimental group (mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dose (TCID₅₀/bird)</th>
<th>14th day PV</th>
<th>21st day PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10¹⁸</td>
<td>5032 ± 280</td>
<td>7062 ± 652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10¹⁵</td>
<td>5570 ± 133</td>
<td>6738 ± 435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10¹⁶</td>
<td>632 ± 714</td>
<td>824 ± 689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values reflect the average for three birds. Values within a column followed by the same superscript letter are not significantly different (P < 0.05).
Birds in Group 3, which received the 10^4.5 TCID50/bird dose, showed the most extensive bursal lesions as sever lymphoid degeneration with marked lymphoid depletion, while birds in Group 4, which received the 10^5.5 TCID50/bird dose, exhibited the mildest bursal lesions ranged from mild lymphoid depletion and interfollicular connective tissue formation, that lesions were non-significantly different from those of non-vaccinated birds. Finally, birds in group 2 exhibited lesions that were milder than those of Group 3 but more severe than those of group 4. Regenerated lymphoid follicles were observed and increased in number with time passed after vaccination. A marked reduction of the bursal lesions and lesion scores in vaccinated birds was observed with increasing age (Table 3 and Figure 1).

Despite the histopathological changes and the lower relative bursal weight in vaccinated birds, there was no significant difference in the immune response toward AI among any of the four groups. Meanwhile, group 4 showed non-significant difference in ND antibody response, in comparison to group 1, whereas group 2 and 3 significant reduction in ND antibody response (Table 4).

The vaccine dose has been suggested to have a significant influence on protective immunity achievement (Islam et al., 2007). Single intra-cloacal IBD virus vaccination with different doses in SPF light-breed chicks induced antibody response with titers reaching up to 8,284 although it induced titer not exceeding 1498 in commercial broiler chicks (Abaza et al., 2020). This discrepancy may reflect differences in the chick’s breeds. More potent immune response was induced in SPF light-breed chicks (White Leghorn egg-laying breed) used in this experiment when compared with the commercial heavy-breed chicks. Immune responses in light-breed chicks are predicted to be much more potent than those in heavy-breed chicks (BioCheck, 2017).

In the evaluation of the immune responses across the different groups, a negative correlation was observed between IBD virus antibody titers and vaccine dose (within the used vaccinal dose). This result clarifies the ability of intra-cloacal route to deliver the vaccinal strain to bursae as a tenfold lower dose induced more potent immune response compared with the use of the ordinary and higher doses. The use of low vaccine doses minimizes the costs of vaccines production, thereby improving poultry production profitability.

Vaccinated birds exhibited decreased relative bursal weight and increased bursal lesions severity when compared with non-vaccinated birds. These findings came in disagreement with the observations of Abaza et al. (2020) who recorded non-significant differences in the relative bursal weight and the severity of bursal lesions between vaccinated and non-vaccinated white Leghorn SPF birds. White Leghorn SPF birds were more susceptible to the destructive effects of IBD than commercial or SPF heavy breeds (Nielsen et al., 1998). There was a considerable reduction in bursal lesion scores over time, as mentioned in table 3 and appearance of regenerated follicles (Figure 1) from activation of bursal stem cells present in neonatal chicks, according to Withers et al. (2006).

The vaccine strain multiplication in the bursae resulted in variable effect on immune response to other vaccines. There was no significant effect on immune response to AI vaccines across the groups, while differences in vaccine doses resulted in differences in ND vaccine-related antibody responses. Chicks vaccinated with the 10^5.5 TCID50/bird dose showed no significant difference in ND or AI antibody responses in comparison to non-vaccinated chicks, which suggests that the 10^3.5 TCID50/bird dose of the IBD vaccine strain has no immunosuppressive effects. On the contrary, chicks vaccinated with ordinary and over vaccine doses (groups 2 and 3) exhibited significant immunosuppression, as evidenced by the decrease in ND antibody response. The difference in immune response observed between the ND and AI vaccines may be attributed to the time interval passing between the administration of these vaccines relative to the IBD vaccines (Ali et al., 2004) as intermediate vaccines cause transient immunosuppression to ND vaccine in SPF chicks (Rautenschlein et al., 2007). A similar response to the ND vaccine in SPF chicks was recorded by (Coletti et al., 2001) after in ovo vaccination with D78, while no immunosuppressive effect was recorded in commercial chicks receiving the same vaccine. Even using a ten-fold increase of the intermediate vaccine, no adverse effects on immune responses to other vaccines were observed in commercial chicks by (Terzić et al., 2006). Also, no immunosuppressive effect toward the ND vaccine was observed by Abaza et al., (2020) after intra-cloacal inoculation of the ordinary dose of the D78 vaccine in commercial chicks. This may be attributed to the difference in genetic backgrounds of the breeds and interference of MDA (Rautenschlein et al., 2007).

### Table 2 Relative weight of immune organs over time, according to the experimental group (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dose (TCID50/bird)</th>
<th>Thymus</th>
<th>Spleen</th>
<th>Bursa of Fabricius</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.066</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10^1.5</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.048</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10^3.5</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.058</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.033</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10^4.5</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.058</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.077</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Severity of vaccination-induced bursa lesions over time, according to the experimental group (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dose (TCID50/bird)</th>
<th>3rd day PV</th>
<th>14th day PV</th>
<th>21st day PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10^1.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.278</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.568</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10^3.5</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.224</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.225</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10^4.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.224</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.225</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Humeral immune response to ND and AI (mean of hemagglutination inhibition [HI] test units) according to the experimental group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dose (TCID50/bird)</th>
<th>ND</th>
<th>AI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>102.4 ± 15.66</td>
<td>102.4 ± 15.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10^1.5</td>
<td>64 ± 0.08</td>
<td>76.8 ± 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10^3.5</td>
<td>64 ± 0.08</td>
<td>70.4 ± 15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10^4.5</td>
<td>102.4 ± 15.66</td>
<td>83.2 ± 19.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values reflect the average for three birds. Values within a column followed by the same superscript letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05). PV, post-vaccination

### 4. DISCUSSION

The vaccine dose has been suggested to have a significant influence on protective immunity achievement (Islam et al., 2007). Single intra-cloacal IBD virus vaccination with different doses in SPF light-breed chicks induced antibody response with titers reaching up to 8,284 although it induced titer not exceeding 1498 in commercial broiler chicks (Abaza et al., 2020). This discrepancy may reflect differences in the chick’s breeds. More potent immune response was induced in SPF light-breed chicks (White Leghorn egg-laying breed) used in this experiment when compared with the commercial heavy-breed chicks. Immune responses in light-breed chicks are predicted to be much more potent than those in heavy-breed chicks (BioCheck, 2017).
5. CONCLUSIONS

Intra cloacal administration of IBD vaccine to even at a ten-fold dose higher than the ordinary dose, caused neither morbidity nor mortality, except for mild histopathological lesions in the bursae. This indicates the safety and effectiveness of the intra-cloacal vaccination approach. Interestingly, using a dose ten-fold lower than the ordinary dose appears to induce a more potent immune response with non-significant suppressive effects on other vaccine immune responses.
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