



## Molecular studies on antimicrobial resistance genes in *salmonella* isolated from poultry flocks in Egypt

Ashraf A. Abd El- Tawab <sup>a</sup>, Ahmed M. Ammar <sup>b</sup>, Soad A. Nasef <sup>c</sup>, Fatma I. El- Hofy <sup>a</sup> and Nehal M. Nabil <sup>d</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> Bacteriology, Immunology and Mycology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University. <sup>b</sup> Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagzig University. <sup>c</sup> Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki Lab., Giza. <sup>d</sup> Animal Health Research Institute, Dakahlia branch.

### ABSTRACT

This study was aimed to investigate the wide spread resistance to different antimicrobial groups in between different Salmonellae isolated from poultry flocks in Egypt. A total of 63 Salmonella isolates (19 from chickens, 3 from ducks, 2 from turkeys, 2 from quails and 2 from pigeons) were examined in this study for their wide spread resistance against different antimicrobial agents. The antimicrobial susceptibility was applied on all isolates then PCR was applied for all resistant isolates to detect the resistance genes of different antimicrobial agents (*qepA*, *qnrS*, *aac* (6') - *ib-cr*) for quinolone resistant isolates, *bla* Tem for  $\beta$  lactam resistant isolates, *sul1* for Sulfonamide resistant isolates, *floR* for Florphenicol resistant isolates, *aadA2* for Streptomycin resistant isolates and *tetA* (A) for Oxytetracycline resistant isolates, in addition to gyrase enzyme gene (*gyrA*). DNA sequencing was done for three selected isolates to detect possible mutations in the quinolone-resistance determining regions of the *gyrA* gene.

**Keywords:** Salmonella, resistance genes, poultry

(<http://www.bvmj.bu.edu.eg>)

(BVMJ-28(2): 176-187, 2015)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Avian salmonellosis is a problem of economic concern to all phases of poultry industry from production to marketing. As a result of extensive use of antibiotics in human and veterinary medicine, serious increase in the spreading of multiple antibiotic resistant Salmonella has occurred (Cruchaga *et al.*, 2001). Antimicrobial resistance is a global public health problem. Although all countries are affected, the extent of the problem in the developing nations is unknown (Ang *et al.*, 2004). From the beginning of the 1990s, strains of Salmonella which are resistant to a range of antimicrobials, including first-choice agents or the treatment of human and animals, have emerged and are threatening

to become a serious public health problem. This resistance results from the use of antimicrobials both in human and animal husbandry (WHO, 2006). This study aimed to detect the different antimicrobial resistance genes in between different types of Salmonellae.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1. Source of Salmonella strains used in this study

A total of 63 isolates were used in this study; 19 strains isolated from chickens (*S. Typhimurium*, *S. Apeyeme*, *S. Kentucky*, *S. Daula*, *S. Newport*, *S. Tamale*, *S. Molade*, *S. Colindale*, *S. Lexington*, *S. Bargny*, *S.*

Enteritidis, *S. Papuana*, *S. Labadi*, *S. Santiago*, *S. Magherafelt*, *S. Rehovot*, *S. Takoradi*, *S. Angers*, *S. Shubra* and untyped *Salmonella*), 3 strains isolated from ducks (*S. Inganda*, *S. Infantis*, *S. Larochelle* and untyped *Salmonella*), 2 strains isolated from turkeys (*S. Virchow* and *S. Vejle*), 2 strains isolated from quails (*S. Shangani* and *S. Jedburgh*) and 2 strains isolated from pigeons (*S. Alfort* and *S. Wingrove*). The used isolates were collected from different poultry species in which they were isolated in Reference Laboratory for Veterinary Quality Control in Dokki.

## 2.2. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done according to Finegold and Martin (1982) using the agar disc diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid) plates. The used antimicrobial agents were Florphenicol (30 µg), Nalidixic acid (30µg), Flumequine (30µg), Ciprofloxacin (5µg), Enrofloxacin (5µg), Norfloxacin (10µg), Levofloxacin (5µg), Amoxicillin (10 µg), Ampicillin – sulbactam (20µg), Cefotaxime (30µg), Ceftriaxone (30µg), Ceftazidime (30µg), Neomycin(30µg), Amikacin (30 µg), Gentamycin (10µg) , Streptomycin (10µg), Oxytetracyclin (30µg) and Sulfamrthoxazole-Trimethoprim (25µg). The zones of inhibition that formed were measured to assess resistance or susceptibility according to the interpretation criteria established by (CLSI, 2011).

### 2.3.2.3. Detection of resistance genes in isolated *Salmonellae* using PCR

DNA was extracted from the isolates that showed resistance in antimicrobial susceptibility tests. The isolates that showed resistance to quinolones were examined for presence of [*qepA*, *qnrS* and *aac(6')-ib-cr*] genes while the isolates that showed resistance to Sulfamethoxazol/Trimethoprim were examined for presence of *sul 1* gene but the isolates that showed resistance to B- lactams were examined for the presence of *bla* TEM gene. The isolates

that showed resistance to Florfenicol were examined for the presence of *floR* gene while the isolates that showed resistance to Streptomycin were examined for presence of *aadA2* gene but the isolates that showed resistance to Oxytetracyclin were examined for *tetA* (A) gene. The DNA extraction for the selected isolates was performed using ABIOPure Genomic DNA extraction kit. The Oligonucleotide Primers which provided from Metabion (Germany) are listed in table (1). The primers were utilized in a 25 µl reaction containing 12.5 µl of EmeraldAmp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan), 1 µl of each primer of 20 pmol concentrations, 4.5 µl of water, and 6 µl of template. The reaction was performed in a Biometra thermal cycler. The products of PCR were separated by electrophoresis on 1-1.5% agarose gel (ABgene) in 1x TBE buffer at room temperature. For gel analysis, 15 µl of the products was loaded in each gel slot. A 100 bp DNA Ladder (Qiagen, USA) was used to determine the fragment sizes. The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system and the data was analyzed through computer software.

## 2.4. Sequencing of the *gyrA* gene

DNA sequencing for *gyrA* gene was done for 3 representative strains (*S. Infantis* from ducks, *S. Enteritidis* from chickens and *S. Vejle* from turkeys). PCR products were purified using QIA quick PCR Product extraction kit (QIAGEN Inc, Valencia, CA, USA). Big dye Terminator V3.1 cycle sequencing kit. (Perkin-Elmer/Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) was used for the sequence reaction and then it was purified using Centrisep spin column. DNA sequences were obtained by Applied Biosystems 3130 genetic analyzer (HITACHI, Japan) and the phylogenetic tree was created by the MegAlign module of Lasergene DNA Star software.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. Results of the antimicrobial susceptibility tests for the isolated *Salmonellae*

Fifty five isolates from a total of 63 Salmonella isolates showed the highest percentage of resistance (87.3%) to Nalidixic acid and Flumequin. Five isolates from these 63 Salmonella isolates showed the lowest percentage of resistance (7.9%) to Levofloxacin. Amikacin showed highest sensitivity (100 %) in all isolates from all examined species but 8 isolates showed the lowest sensitivity (12.7%) to Nalidixic acid and Flumequin (Table 2) and (Table 3).

### 3.2. Results of Polymerase Chain Reaction technique for different resistance genes in the examined isolates.

All Salmonella isolates that showed resistance against different antimicrobial agents were examined by PCR to detect the incidence of resistance genes in the examined isolates which was (87%) for *sul*

1, (83.7%) for *tetA* (A), (77.8%) for *floR*, (69.4%) for *bla* TEM, (53.1%) for *aadA2*, (19.6%) for *aac* (6')-ib-cr, (10.7%) for *qnrS* and (3.5%) for *qepA* (Table, 4).

### 3.3. DNA sequencing

The 3 *gyrA* sequenced strains (*S. Infantis*, *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Vejle*; isolates number 14, 40, 45 respectively in this study) showed two sites of mutation in the amino acids of *S. Enteritidis* (isolate NO. 40) (KP290113) at the position 87 which changed from Aspartic acid (D) (standard protein) to Glycine (G) (mutated protein) and at the position 176 mutated from Valine (V) (standard protein) to Alanine (A) (mutated protein). No mutations in *gyrA* gene of *S. Infantis* (KP290114) or *S. Vejle* (KP290112) were recorded in this study (Table, 5).

Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers for PCR.

Primers	Nucleotide Sequence	References
<i>tetA</i> (A) (Tetracycline)	F(5'-GGTTCACCTCGAACGACGTCA -3') R(5'-CTGTCCGACAAGTTGCATGA -3')	Randall <i>et al.</i> , 2004
<i>aadA2</i> (Streptomycin)	F (5'-TGTTGGTTACTGTGGCCGTA -3') R(5'-GATCTCGCCTTTCACAAAGC -3')	Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2001
<i>bla</i> TEM (B-lactamas)	F(5'-ATCAGCAATAAACCCAGC -3') R(5'-CCCCGAAGAACGTTTTTC -3')	Colom <i>et al.</i> , 2003
<i>sul</i> 1 (Sulfonamides)	F(5'- CGGCGTGGGCTACCTGAACG-3') R(5'- GCCGATCGCGTGAAGTTCCG-3')	Sabarinath <i>et al.</i> , 2011
<i>qepA</i> (Quinolones)	F(5'-CGTGTTGCTGGAGTTCTTC -3') R(5'-CTGCAGGTACTGCGTCATG -3')	Cattoir <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>aac</i> (6')-ib-cr (Quinolones)	F(5'-CCCGCTTTCTCGTAGCA -3') R(5'-TTAGGCATCACTGCGTCTTC -3')	Lunn <i>et al.</i> , 2010
<i>qnrS</i> (Quinolones)	F(5'-ACGACATTCGTCAACTGCAA -3') R(5'-TAAATTGGCACCCTGTAGGC -3')	Robicsek <i>et al.</i> , 2006
<i>floR</i> (Florphenicol)	F(5'-TTTGGWCCGCTMTCRGAC -3') R(5'-SGAGAARAAGACGAAGAAG -3')	Doublet <i>et al.</i> , 2003
<i>gyrA</i> (Quinolones mutation gene)	F (5'-AAATCTGCCCCGTGTCGTTGGT-3') R(5'-GCCATACCTACTGCGATACC-3')	Fàbrega <i>et al.</i> , 2009

Table 2. Numbers and percentages of Salmonella isolates from poultry exhibiting resistance to various antimicrobials.

Antimicrobial agent	resistant chicken isolates		resistant duck isolates		resistant turkey isolates		resistant quail isolates		resistant pigeon isolates		Total	
	No	%*	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%**
Florphenicol	19	44.2	4	40	1	33.3	3	60	0	0	27	42.9
Nalidixic acid	43	100	9	90	1	33.3	1	20	1	50	55	87.3
Flumequin	43	100	9	90	1	33.3	1	20	1	50	55	87.3
Ciprofloxacin	15	34.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	23.8
Enerofloxacin	23	53.2	2	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	39.7
Norfloxacin	9	20.9	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	15.9
Levofloxacin	5	11.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	7.9
Amoxicillin	39	90.7	5	50	1	33.3	2	40	2	100	49	77.8
Ampicillin/sulbctam	33	76.7	6	60	0	0	2	40	2	100	43	68.3
Cefotaxime	7	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	11.1
Ceftriaxone	10	23.3	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	17.5
Ceftazidime	11	25.6	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	19.1
Neomycin	18	41.9	4	40	1	33.3	0	0	0	0	23	36.5
Amikacin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gentamycin	13	30.2	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	22.2
Streptomycin	23	53.5	6	60	1	33.3	2	40	0	0	32	50.8
Oxytetracyclin	34	79.1	6	60	1	33.3	1	20	1	50	43	68.3
Sulfa+trimethoprim	25	58.1	4	40	1	33.3	1	20	0	0	31	49.2

\* The percentage calculated by dividing the number of isolates that showed resistance to each antimicrobial agent individually on the number of Salmonella isolates from each species ( $19/43 \times 100 = 44.2\%$ ). \*\* The percentage calculated by dividing the total number of isolates that showed resistance from all species to each antimicrobial agent individually on the total number of Salmonella isolates from all species ( $27/63 \times 100 = 42.9\%$ ).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

According to the results concerning antimicrobial susceptibility tests in table (2) & (3), 55 isolates showed the highest percentage of resistance (87.3%) to Nalidixic acid and Flumequin. Five isolates showed the lowest percentage of resistance (7.9%) to Levofloxacin. All Salmonella isolates from all examined species were sensitive to Amikacin (100%) but 8 isolates from all examined isolates showed the lowest sensitivity (12.7%) to Nalidixic acid and Flumequin. The obtained results were differed from Boris *et al.*, (2012) who reported that all Salmonella isolates were sensitive to Chloramphenicol and Streptomycin (100%) while 92 isolates (58%) were sensitive to Nalidixic acid and

of 66 (41.7%) isolates were sensitive to all antibiotics. Contrary to these results Cardoso *et al.*, (2006) who reported that Salmonella showed sensitivity to doxycycline hydrochloride with 100%. However Khan *et al.*, (2010) stated that all Salmonella isolates exhibit (100%) resistant to Cephalexin and Rifampicin while about 90% and 88% of the isolates were resistant to Ampicillin and tetracycline. In this study forty three chicken isolates showed resistance with 100% against Nalidixic acid and Flumequin but five isolates showed the lowest resistance (11.6%) to levofloxacin while 43 chicken isolates were sensitive to Amikacin (100%) but 38 isolates were sensitive to Levofloxacin with a percentage

Table 3. Numbers and percentages of sensitive Salmonella isolates from poultry.

Antimicrobial agent	sensitive chicken isolates		sensitive ducks isolates		sensitive turkeys isolates		sensitive quails isolates		sensitive pigeons isolates		Total	
	No	%*	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%**
Florphenicol	24	55.8	6	60	2	66.7	2	40	2	100	36	57.1
Nalidixic acid	0	0	1	10	2	66.7	4	80	1	50	8	12.7
Flumequin	0	0	1	10	2	66.7	4	80	1	50	8	12.7
Ciprofloxacin	28	65.1	10	100	3	100	5	100	2	100	48	76.2
Enerofloxacin	20	46.5	8	80	3	100	5	100	2	100	38	60.3
Norfloxacin	34	79.1	9	90	3	100	5	100	2	100	53	84.1
Levofloxacin	38	88.4	10	100	3	100	5	100	2	100	58	92.1
Amoxicillin	4	9.3	5	50	2	66.7	3	60	0	0	14	22.2
Ampicillin/sulbctam	10	23.3	4	40	3	100	3	60	0	0	20	31.7
Cefotaxime	36	83.7	10	100	3	100	5	100	2	100	56	88.9
Ceftriaxone	33	52.4	9	90	3	100	5	100	2	100	52	82.5
Ceftazidime	32	74.4	9	90	3	100	5	100	2	100	51	80.9
Neomycin	25	58.1	6	60	2	66.7	5	100	2	100	40	63.5
Amikacin	43	100	10	100	3	100	5	100	2	100	63	100
Gentamycin	30	69.8	9	90	3	100	5	100	2	100	49	77.8
Streptomycin	20	46.5	4	40	2	66.7	3	60	2	100	31	49.2
Oxytetracyclin	9	20.9	4	40	2	66.7	4	80	1	50	20	31.7
Sulfa+trimethoprim	18	41.9	6	60	2	66.7	4	80	2	100	32	50.8

\* The percentage calculated by dividing the number of isolates that were sensitive to each antimicrobial agent individually on the number of Salmonella isolates from each species ( $24/43 \times 100 = 55.8\%$ ). \*\* The percentage calculated by dividing the total number of isolates that were sensitive to each antimicrobial agent individually (from all species) on the total number of Salmonella isolates from all species ( $36/63 \times 100 = 57.1\%$ ).

(88.4%) and 4 isolates were sensitive to Amoxicillin giving the lowest percentage (9.3%) and these results agreed with Snow *et al.*, (2011) who reported that all Salmonella isolates from commercial layer flocks in UK were sensitive to Amikacin with (100%). The results in this study differ from Zdragas *et al.*, (2012) who reported (5%) of 23 resistance to Streptomycin (highest resistance rates) and (2%) to Tetracycline and Nalidixic acid (lowest rate), Munawwar *et al.*, (2010) who reported (100%) resistance to Cephalixin and Rifampicin in Salmonella isolated from chicken meats in Dubai, while 87.88% of these Salmonellae were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and amikacin. Nine duck isolates in this study showed the highest resistance with (90%) to Nalidixic acid and Flumequin while Norfloxacin, Ceftriaxone,

Ceftazidime and Gentamycin came in lowest percentage with (10%). Ciprofloxacin, Levofloxacin, Cefotaxime and Amikacin showed (100%) sensitivity while Nalidixic acid, Flumequin showed the lowest sensitivity with a percentage of (10%). These results agreed with Rahman *et al.*, (1999) who detected that *S. Enteritidis* was susceptible to Cefotaxime and Ciprofloxacin, Pan *et al.*, (2009) who reported that Salmonella displayed a high level of resistance to Ampicillin, Streptomycin and Tetracycline. While these results differ from Hegazy (1991) who reported that 19 Salmonellae isolated from ducks in Kafr El- Sheik governorate were resistant to Ampicillin and Cephalixin with percentage of 100% while Flumequine and Enerofloxacin were highly sensitive.

Table 4. Results of Polymerase Chain Reaction technique for different resistance genes from the examined isolates.

No	serotype	source	<i>qepA</i>	<i>qnrS</i>	<i>aac(6')- ib-cr</i>	<i>sul</i> 1	<i>bla</i> TEM	<i>floR</i>	<i>aad</i> A2	<i>tetA</i> (A)
1	<i>S. Alfort</i>	pigeon	-	-	-	NE	-	NE	NE	+
2	<i>S. Typhmurium</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
3	<i>S. Typhmurium</i>	chicken	-	-	+	NE	+	NE	-	-
4	<i>S. Virchow</i>	turkey	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
5	Untyped	chicken	-	-	+	NE	+	NE	NE	+
6	<i>S. Typhmurium</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	+	+	+	+
7	<i>S. Apeyeme</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	+	NE	+	+
8	<i>S. Typhmurium</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	+	NE	+	+
9	<i>S. Typhmurium</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	NE	+	+
10	<i>S. Typhmurium</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	NE	NE	NE	+
11	<i>S. Kentucky</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
12	<i>S. Daula</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	+	NE	NE	+
13	<i>S. Newport</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	NE	NE	+
14	<i>S. Infantis</i>	duck	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
15	<i>S. Shangani</i>	quail	-	-	-	NE	+	+	+	NE
16	<i>S. Tamale</i>	chicken	-	+	-	NE	+	NE	+	+
17	<i>S. Molade</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	NE	NE	+
18	<i>S. Typhmurium</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
19	Untyped	duck	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
20	<i>S. Newport</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
21	<i>S. Colindale</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	-	NE	-	+
22	Untyped	duck	-	-	-	NE	NE	NE	-	-
23	<i>S. Shangani</i>	quail	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	+	+	NE
24	<i>S. Newport</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
25	<i>S. Virchow</i>	turkey	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
26	<i>S. lexington</i>	chicken	-	-	-	-	-	NE	-	+
27	<i>S. Bargny</i>	chicken	-	-	+	NE	-	NE	-	+
28	<i>S. Rehovot</i>	chicken	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	NE
29	Untyped	duck	-	-	-	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
30	<i>S. Magherafelt</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	+	NE	NE	-
31	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	-	+	NE	-
32	<i>S. Inganda</i>	duck	-	-	-	NE	-	NE	-	+
33	<i>S. Magherafelt</i>	chicken	-	+	-	+	+	NE	NE	+
34	<i>S. Typhmurium</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	-	NE	NE
35	<i>S. Santiago</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	+	+	NE	+
36	<i>S. Infantis</i>	duck	-	-	-	NE	+	NE	NE	NE
37	<i>S. Labadi</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	-	-	-	+
38	<i>S. Molade</i>	chicken	-	-	+	+	+	NE	-	+
39	<i>S. Tamale</i>	chicken	-	-	+	NE	+	NE	NE	+

Table 4. (continued) Results of Polymerase Chain Reaction technique for different resistance genes from the examined isolates.

No	serotype	source	<i>qepA</i>	<i>qnrS</i>	<i>aac(6')</i> - <i>ib-cr</i>	<i>sul</i> 1	<i>bla</i> TEM	<i>floR</i>	<i>aad</i> A2	<i>tetA</i> (A)
40	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	chicken	-	-	+	+	NE	+	NE	+
41	<i>S. Takoradi</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-
42	<i>S. Wingrove</i>	pigeon	NE	NE	NE	NE	-	NE	NE	NE
43	<i>S. Newport</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+
44	<i>S. Larochelle</i>	duck	-	-	-	+	NE	NE	NE	NE
45	<i>S. Vejle</i>	turkey	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
46	<i>S. Tamale</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	-	NE	-	NE
47	<i>S. Molade</i>	chicken	+	-	+	NE	+	NE	-	+
48	<i>S. Kentucky</i>	chicken	+	-	-	+	+	NE	NE	+
49	<i>S. Newport</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	+	NE	NE
50	<i>S. Inganda</i>	duck	-	-	-	NE	+	+	+	+
51	<i>S. Kentucky</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	NE	NE	NE	NE
52	<i>S. Newport</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	NE
53	<i>S. Papuana</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	-	NE	-	NE
54	<i>S. Kentucky</i>	chicken	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+
55	<i>S. Santiago</i>	chicken	-	-	+	NE	+	+	NE	NE
56	<i>S. Infantis</i>	duck	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
57	<i>S. Angers</i>	chicken	-	-	-	-	-	-	NE	-
58	<i>S. Bargny</i>	chicken	-	-	+	+	+	+	NE	+
59	<i>S. Shubra</i>	chicken	-	-	-	NE	NE	NE	NE	+
60	<i>S. Shangani</i>	quail	-	-	-	-	-	-	NE	-
61	<i>S. Jedburch</i>	quail	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
62	<i>S. Jedburch</i>	quail	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
63	Untyped	duck	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
Total examined			56	56	56	31	49	27	32	43
Samples										
No. of positive			2	6	11	27	34	21	17	36
% of positive			3.5	10.7	19.6	87	69.4	77.8	53.1	83.7

(*qepA* - *qnrS* - *aac(6')*-*ib-cr*)= resistant genes for quinolones

*sul* 1= resistant gene for sulfonamide & *bla* TEM= resistant genes for B-lactamases

*floR*= resistant gene for florfenicol & *aadA2*= resistant gene for streptomycin

*tetA* (A) = resistant gene for tetracycline. & NE = not examined by PCR = sensitive samples.

Table 5. Nucleotide changes in the *gyrA* gene of Salmonella serovars.

Strain no.	Accession no.	Serovar	Species	Nucleotide change at <i>gyrA</i> Positions		
				83 TCC [Ser]	87 GAC [Asp]	176 GTA [Val]
14	KP290114	Infantis	Duck	None	None	None
40	KP290113	Enteritidis	Chicken	None	<u>G</u> GC [Gly]	<u>G</u> CA [Ala]
45	KP290112	Vejle	Turkey	None	None	None

In turkey isolates, the highest percentage of resistance (33.3%) was to Florphenicol, Nalidixic acid and Flumequin, Amoxicillin, Neomycin, Streptomycin, Oxytetracyclin, Sulfamethoxazole /Trimethoprim but other antimicrobial agents showed no resistance. These obtained result nearly in coordinated with Jodas and Hafez (2003) who reported that all of the examined Salmonella isolates from turkeys were sensitive to Enrofloxacin (98%) while these results differ from Blackburn *et al.*, (1982) who reported that all Salmonella strains isolated from turkeys were (100%) resistant to Gentamicin. In addition to Pederson *et al.*, (2002) who stated that 1.7 %, 1.7 %, 8.7 %, and 9 % of strains isolated from Danish turkeys between 1995 and 2000, were resistant to Gentamicin, Trimethoprim/ Sulphamethoxazole, Tetracycline and Streptomycin.

In quail isolates, 60% resistance to Florphenicol and the lowest percentage was 20% to Nalidixic acid, Flumequin, Oxytetracyclin and Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim while Ciprofloxacin, Enerofloxacin, Norfloxacin, Levofloxacin Cefotaxime, Ceftriaxone, Ceftazidime, Neomycin, Amikacin and Gentamycin showed sensitivity with 100% but Florphenicol was the lowest sensitivity with percentage of 40%. The results in this study nearly in coordinated with Rahman *et al.*, (2011) and differ from Bacci *et al.*, (2012) who reported that the isolated Salmonellae from quails exhibited 89.2% resistance to Sulfamethoxazole and 24.3% to Ampicillin, Gentamicin, Sulfamethoxazole and Tetracycline in addition to Helm *et al.*, (1999) who reported that Salmonella typhimurium isolated from bobwhite quail was resistant to Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol, Sulfonamides, and Tetracycline.

Pigeon isolates showed resistance (100%) to Amoxicillin and Ampicillin/ Sulbctam followed by Nalidix acid, Flumequin and Oxytetracyclin (50%) while the isolates showed sensitivity with 100% to all examined antimicrobial agents except

Nalidixic acid, flumequin and oxytetracyclin that showed sensitivity with 50%. These results agreed with Jahantigh and Nill (2010) who showed a high frequency of sensitivity to Ciprofloxacin, Norfloxacin and Gentamycin with percentage of (100%) in Salmonella isolated from pigeon eggs but Banani *et al.*, (2003) who found that all isolates of *S. Enteritidis* were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Ceftriaxone, and Florphenicol.

PCR was a perfect tool for accurate detection of Salmonella resistant genes and the results from *qepA-qnrS-aac (6')-ib-cr*, a resistant genes for quinolones were reported with a percentage of follow: (2 /56 isolates) 3.5%, (6 / 56 isolates) 10.7% and (11 / 56 isolates) 19.6% respectively. The results obtained for *qepA* gene nearly in coordinated with Lunn *et al.*, (2010) who found that one isolate (2.4%) showed the presence of *qepA*. While the results in this study differ from Hao *et al.*, (2011) who reported *aac (6')-Ib-cr* and *qnrS* resistant genes in Salmonella with a percentage of 20.16% and 1.61% respectively. The *sul 1* gene, a gene encoded for sulfonamide resistance was reported in the present study with a percentage of 87% (27 out of 31 isolates) these results differ from a study performed by Dessie *et al.*, (2013) who identified *sul2* in 33 Salmonella isolates but *sul1* not identified in them in addition to another study performed by Zou *et al.*, (2009) who detected *sul1* in 11 of 16 isolates (68.7%). The *bla* TEM gene, a gene encoded for B- lactam resistance was reported in the present study with a percentage of 69.4% (34 out of 49 isolates) these results differ from a study performed by Hur *et al.*, (2011) who reported that 19/21 penicillin resistant *S. Enteritidis* in Korea carried the *bla* (TEM) gene with a percentage of (90.5%) but in another study done by Ahmed *et al.*, (2009) the percentage of *bla* (TEM-1) was 10% which was identified in between 10 Salmonella isolates from retail chicken meat in Hiroshima, Japan. The *floR* gene, a gene encoded for florphenicol resistance



was reported in the present study with a percentage of 77.8% (21/ 27 isolates) these results nearly in accordance with Lu *et al.*, (2014) who reported that 108 *S. Indiana* possessed *floR* gene with a percentage of 81.2%. However, Cloeckaert *et al.*, (2000) reported that *Salmonella enterica* serovar Agona strains isolated from poultry harbor *floR* gene, conferring cross-resistance to Chloramphenicol and Florphenicol. The *aadA2* gene, a gene encoded for Streptomycin resistance was reported in the current study with a percentage of 53.1% (17 / 32 isolates) these results came in contrary with a study performed by Shahada *et al.*, (2006) who reported that all streptomycin resistant *S. Infantis* from poultry in Japan carried *aadA1* gene while Sheng *et al.*, (2004) who reported *aadA2* gene in three *Salmonella* isolates from seventy-three isolates from retail meats. The *tet A* gene, a gene encoded for tetracycline resistance was reported in the present study with a percentage of 83.7% (36 /43 isolates) these results nearly in coordinated with Lu *et al.*, (2014) who reported that 108 *S. Indiana* possessed *tet A* gene with a percentage of 81.2% and Shahada *et al.*, (2006) who reported that 89% of Oxytetracycline-resistant *S. Infantis* from poultry in Japan carried the *tet (A)* gene while these results differ from a study performed by Ahmed *et al.*, (2012) who identified tetracycline resistance gene *tet A* in 14 out of 21 (66.7%) *Salmonella* isolates.

Mutations in the *gyrA* gene were known to be the main resistance mechanism to quinolones. However, there are other mechanisms as the plasmid mediated resistance and this was obvious in the current *gyrA* sequence results. *S. Enteritidis* showed double mutations in the codons Asp87 and Val176 while *S. Infantis* and *S. Vejle* showed no mutations at *gyrA* gene. The results in this study nearly in coordinated with Reyna *et al.*, (1995) who stated that double and triple combinatorial mutations involving residues 83 and 87 of *gyrA* are associated with much higher

resistance levels than those of single mutations and Hirose *et al.*, (2002) who reported a single mutation in the *gyrA* gene, at either position 83 or 87 of *gyrA* in *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhi and Serovar Paratyphi A and double mutations in the *gyrA* gene at both position 83 and position 87 of *gyrA* in strains with high-level resistance to Fluoroquinolones induced by in vitro selection with ciprofloxacin. The mutations (Ser83Phe and/or Asp87Gly) were previously recorded in many reference *Salmonella* strains and also in strains isolated from food and domestic or wild birds as reported by (Reyna *et al.*, 1995; Hirose *et al.*, 2002 and De Souza *et al.*, 2011).

Because of much higher resistances of *Salmonella* to different antimicrobials that reported in this study using sensitivity tests, from PCR and sequencing techniques, it's recommended not to apply any antimicrobial agents for *Salmonella* treatment without application of sensitivity tests to avoid these resistance which have adverse effect on poultry industry. Also peoples shouldn't eat under cooked poultry and poultry products to avoid infection with such resistant *Salmonellae*.

## 5. REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A.M., Shimabukuro, H., Shimamoto, T. 2009. Isolation and molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant strains of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* from retail chicken meat in Japan. *J. Food Sci.*, 74(7):M 405-410.
- Ahmed, A. M., Shimamoto, T. 2012. Genetic analysis of multiple antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* isolated from diseased broilers in Egypt. *Microbiology and Immunology* 56(4):254-261.
- Ang, J.Y., Ezike, E., Asmar, B.I. 2004. Antibacterial resistance. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics* 71:229-239.
- Bacci, C., Boni, E., Alpigiani, I., Lanzoni, E., Bonardi, S., Brindani, F. 2012. Phenotypic and genotypic features of

- antibiotic resistance in *Salmonella enterica* isolated from chicken meat and chicken and quail carcasses. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 160(1):16-23.
- Banani, M., Pournakhsh, S. A., Khaki, P., Nikookhesal, G. H. 2003. Serotyping and drug sensitivity of *Salmonella* isolates from commercial chickens and domestic pigeons submitted to Razi institute. *Pajouhesh-va-Sazandegi. In Animal Sciences* 59:92-99.
- Blackburn, O.B., Sclater, L.K., Swanson, M.R. 1982. Antibiotic resistance of members of THA genus *Salmonella* isolated from chicken, turkeys, cattle, and swine in the United States during October 1981 through September. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 45:1245-1249.
- Boris H., Borika, S., Gordan, K., Fani, K. 2012. Antimicrobial resistance and serotyping of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* isolated from poultry in Croatia. *Vet. Arhiv.* 82:371-381.
- Cardoso, M.O., Ribeiro, A.R., Santos, L.R., Pilotto, F., Moraes, H.S., Salle, C.P., Rocha, S.S., Nascimento, V.P. 2006. Antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolated from broiler carcasses. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, 37:368-371.
- Cattoir, V., Poirel, L., Nordmann, P. 2008. plasmid – mediated quinolone resistance pump QepA2 in an *Escherichia coli* isolated from France. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 52:3801- 3804.
- CloECKaert, A., Sidi, B. K., Flaujac, G., Imberechts, H., D'Hooghe, I., Chaslus-Dancla, E. 2000. Occurrence of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium DT104-like antibiotic resistance gene cluster including the *floR* gene in *S. enterica* serovar Agona. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* ; 44(5):1359-1361.
- CLSI (2011): Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute antimicrobial susceptibility testing standards M2- A9 and M7-A7.
- Colom, K., Perez, J., Alonoso, R., Fernandez- Aranguiz, A., Larino, E., Cisterna, R. 2003. simple and reliable multiplex PCR assay for detection of *bla TEM*, *bla SHV*, *bla OXA-1* genes in Enterobacteriaceae. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 223:147-151.
- Cruchaga, S., Echieta, A., Aladuena, A., Rena, J.G., N., Usera, M.A. 2001. Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* from human food and animals in Spain in 1998. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 47:315 – 321.
- Dessie, H.K., Bae, D.H., Lee, Y.J. 2013. Characterization of integrons and their cassettes in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates from poultry in Korea. *Poult Sci.*; 92(11):3036-3043.
- De Souza, R.B., Magnani, M., Ferrari, R.G., Kottwitz, L.B.M., Sartori, D., Tognim, M.C.B., de Oliveira, T.C.R. 2011. Detection of quinolone-resistance mutations in *Salmonella* strains of epidemic and poultry origin. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology.* 42:211-215.
- Doublet, B., Lailier, R., Meunier, D., Brisabois, A., Boyd, D., Mulvey, M. R., Chaslus- Dancla, E. and CloECKaert, A. 2003. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Albany. *Emerging Infectious Diseases.* 9 (5):585-591.
- Fàbrega, A., Madurga, S., Giralt, E., Vila, J. 2009. Mechanism of action of and resistance to quinolones. *Microbial Biotechnology* 2:40–61.
- Finegold, S. M., Martin, E. T. 1982. *Diagnostic microbiology.* 6<sup>th</sup> Ed., The C.V. Mosby Company, St. Louis, Toronto, London.
- Hao, H., Yang, B., Shi, J., Xi, M., Wang, X., Cui, Y., Meng, J. 2011. drug resistance and related genes of chicken borne *Salmonella* to quinolone and fluoroquinolones. *Wei Sheng Wu Xue Bao.* 51(10):1413-1420.
- Hegazy, A.A. 1991. studies on *Salmonella* infections in ducks. M.V.Sc.Thesis Fac. Med. Alex. Univ.
- Helm, J.D., Hines, R. K., Hill, J.E., Caver, J.A. 1999. Multiple drug-resistant *Salmonella typhimurium* DT104 and DT104b isolated in

- bobwhite quail (*Colinus virginianus*). *Avian Dis.* 43(4):788-791.
- Hirose, K., Hashimoto, A., Tamura, K., Kawamura, Y., Ezaki, T., Sagara, H., Watanabe, H. 2002. DNA Sequence Analysis of DNA Gyrase and DNA Topoisomerase IV Quinolone Resistance-Determining Regions of *Salmonella enteric* Serovar Typhi and Serovar Paratyphi A. antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 46(10):3249–3252.
- Hur, J., Kim, J.H., Park, J.H., Lee, Y.J., Lee, J.H. 2011. Molecular and virulence characteristics of multi-drug resistant *Salmonella* Enteritidis strains isolated from poultry. *Vet J.* 189(3):306-311.
- Jahantigh, M., Nili, H. 2010. Drug resistance of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from pigeon eggs. *Comparative Clinical Pathology*; 19(4): 437-439.
- Jodas, S., Hafez, H. M. 2003. Surveillance on antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates from poultry between 1993 and 1999. *Archiv fur Geflugelkunde*; 67(1):16-21.
- Khan, M., Suryanarayan, P., Ahmed, M., Vaswani, R., Faheem, S. 2010. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* isolates from chicken meat samples in Dubai, United Arab Emirates. *International Journal of Food, Nutrition and Public Health*, 3(2):149-159.
- Lu, Y., Zhao, H., Liu, Y., Zhou, X., Beier, R.C., Wu, G., Hou, X. 2014. Characterization of Multidrug-Resistant *Salmonella enterica* Serovars Indiana and Enteritidis from Chickens in Eastern China. *PLoS.* 9(5):96050.
- Lunn, A. D., fabrega, A., Sanchez-Cespedes, J., Vila, J. 2010. Prevalence of mechanisms decreasing quinolone-susceptibility among *Salmonella* spp. Clinical isolates. *International Microbiology*, 13:15-20.
- Munawwar, A., Priyanka, S., Mohammed, M., Reshma, B. and Sultan, M. 2010. Robicsek, A., Strahilevitz, J., Sahn, D. F., Jacoby, G. A., Hooper, D.C. 2006. qnr Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* isolates from chicken meat samples in Dubai, United Arab Emirates. *International Journal of Food, Nutrition and Public Health*, 3(2):10-20.
- Pan, Z., Wang, X., Zhang, X., Geng, S., Chen, X., Pan, W., Cong, Q., Liu, X., Jiao, X., Liu, X. 2009. Changes in antimicrobial resistance among *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* serovar Pullorum isolates in China from 1962 to 2007. *Vet Microbiol.* 136(3-4):387-392.
- Pederson, K., Hansen, H.C., Jorgensen, J. C., Borck B. 2002. Serovars of *Salmonella* isolated from Danish turkeys between 1995 and 2000 and their antibiotic resistance. *Vet. Rec.* 150:471-474.
- Rahman, M. M., Hossain, M. K., Akhter, M. R., Hasan, S. M. K., Rahman, M. M. 2011. Characterization and antibiogram study of salmonella serovars isolated from duck, quail and pigeon in Dinajpur district of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Technology* 7(2):23-29.
- Rahman, H., Mukit, A., Goswami, S., Kabita, R. 1999. Outbreak of Salmonellosis in ducklings in Assam. *Indian Journal of Comparative Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases.* 20(1):26-28.
- Randall, L.P., Cooles, S. W., Osborn, M. K., Piddock, L. J. V., Woodward, M. J. 2004. Antibiotic resistance genes, integrons and multiple antibiotic resistance in thirty- five serotypes of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from humans and animals in the UK. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.* 53:208-216.
- Reyna, F., Huesca, M., González, V., Fuchs, L.Y. 1995. *Salmonella* Typhimurium *gyrA* Mutations Associated with Fluoroquinolone Resistance. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*, 39(7):1621–1623.
- prevalence in ceftazidime- resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates from the

- USA. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50: 2872- 2874.
- Sabarinath, A., Tiwari, K. P., Deallie, C. Belot, G., Vanpee, G., Matthew, V., Sharma, R., Hariharan, H. 2011. Antimicrobial resistance and phylogenetic Groups of Commensal *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy Pigs in Grenada. Available from: www.webmedcentral.com.
- Shahada, F., Chuma, T., Tobata, T., Okamoto, K., Sueyoshi, M., Takase, K. 2006. Molecular epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance among *Salmonella enterica* serovar Infantis from poultry in Kagoshima, Japan. Int J Antimicrob Agents. ; 28(4):302-307.
- Sheng, C., Shaohua, Z., David, G. W., Carl, M., Ran L., Hanchun, Y., Patrick, F., McDermott, S., Jianghong, M. 2004. Characterization of multiple antibiotic resistant Salmonella serovars Isolated from Retail Meats Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70(1):1-7.
- Snow, L.C., Davies, R.H., Christiansen, K.H., Carrique-Mas, J.J., Cook, A.J., Evans, S.J. 2007. Survey of Salmonella prevalence on commercial turkey breeding and fattening farms in the UK in 2006 to 2007. Vet Rec. 169(19):493.
- Walker, R. A., Lindsay, E. and Woodward, M. J. 2001. Variation in clonality and antibiotic- resistance genes among multi- resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium phage- type U302 (MR U302) from humans, animals and foods. Microbiological Research 7: 13- 21.
- WHO. 2006. Drug resistant Salmonella- fact sheet No. 139 Revised April (2005).
- Zdragas, A., Mazaraki, K., Vafeas, G., Giantzi, V., Papadopoulos, T., Ekateriniadou, L. 2012. Prevalence, seasonal occurrence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella in poultry retail products in Greece. Letters in Applied Microbiology. 55(4):308-313.
- Zou, W., Frye, J. G., Chang, C.-W., Liu, J., Cerniglia, C. E., Nayak, R. 2009. Microarray analysis of antimicrobial resistance genes in Salmonella enterica from preharvest poultry environment. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 107(3):906-914.