



Official Journal Issued by  
Faculty of  
Veterinary Medicine

## Benha Veterinary Medical Journal

Journal homepage: <https://bvmj.journals.ekb.eg/>



Since 1990

### Original Paper

## The effect of using edible coating fortified with lactoferrin on the shelf life of oriental sausage

Enas, A.M. Ali<sup>1</sup>, Lamy, A.M. Fathy<sup>2</sup>, Enas, A.H. Farrag<sup>3</sup>, Nariman, A. Helmy<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed, E. Nabil<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food Hygiene Department, Animal Health Research Institute, ARC, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Virology Department, Animal Health Research Institute, ARC, Egypt

<sup>3</sup>Biochemistry Department, Animal Health Research Institute, ARC, Egypt

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords

Edible coating  
Food Safety  
Lactoferrin  
Meat Products

Received 04/12/2023

Accepted 22/12/2023

Available On-Line  
31/12/2023

### ABSTRACT

Meat and meat products are highly demanded with especial considerations of safety and health concerns; so, innovative preservation is essential in the acceptability of the meat products for the consumers. This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of carboxy-methyl-cellulose (CMC) fortified with lactoferrin (LF) (5%, 10% and 20%) edible coating in the sensory and microbial quality of home-made sausage. Lactoferrin was added directly on the minced meat; in addition, CMC-LF edible film was used as an external coating on the filled sausage samples. Samples were stored in refrigerator until examination. Results revealed significant enhancement in the overall acceptability of the treated samples with elongation in the shelf-life up to 12th, 15th and 18th days of storage in the treated samples with LF 5%, 10% and 20%, respectively; whereas, control untreated samples showed obvious signs of spoilage after the 6th day of storage. On the same line, microbiological count reductions were recorded revealing LF as a promising functional meat additive applied as an edible coating for improving sausage quality and shelf-life. Furthermore, the antimicrobial effect of LF appeared to be dose-time dependent, where higher concentrations gave more antimicrobial effects. So, usage of LF edible coating technique is recommended to be applied in the meat production for more safe meat products with longer shelf-life, as a low price and easily applied preservative technique.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

High biological value proteins and micronutrients found in red meat are all necessary for long-term healthy life. Therefore, a healthy, balanced diet is thought to include a reasonable amount of lean red meat (Giromini and Givens, 2022).

One of the most popular meat products is sausage, which is made from freshly minced meat, that may be furtherly processed using various recipes to produce specific sensory and storage qualities before being placed in a casing, including meat additives that are often used in sausages to enhance their quality, safety and shelf life (Dévi et al., 2020).

Because of ground high-fat content of oriental sausage, and oxygen-semi-permeable casing that promotes lipid oxidation, sausage is almost very perishable meat product. Numerous factors, such as the quality of the ingredients, the structure, content, processing, manufacturing conditions, and associated packaging system, affect the stability of food and extend its shelf life (Kumar et al., 2022).

Although, synthetic chemical preservatives have historically been widely used in food processing because of their inexpensive cost and strong antimicrobial action to prevent microbial contamination of meat products, a sizable portion of processed meat products are no longer in demand as consumer's preference of fresh, wholesome and nutrient-dense foods, and there is a growing global

trend towards food free of chemical preservatives because of concerns about the potential negative health effects (Sridhar et al., 2021). Consequently, there is a greater need for natural substances as food preservatives due to the use of products made from plants, animals and microbes (Teshome et al., 2022). Microbial development can be inhibited and shelf life extended by natural preservatives (Yong et al., 2021). However, they can also have an impact on the food's acceptability as well as its flavor, taste, color, and texture. In order to counteract the growth of spoilage bacteria or food-borne illnesses, these natural preservatives may change cell metabolism, stop protein synthesis, and make microbial cell membranes more permeable (Baptista et al., 2020). Many strategies have been studied to increase the utility of natural preservatives, such as combinations of multiple preservatives or food preservation methods including encapsulation and active packaging systems (Yu et al., 2021).

Although food oxidation and microbial growth can be controlled by cold storage, food packaging is thought to be an essential tool for maintaining the quality of meat products while they are being stored (Behbahani et al., 2021). One of the novel applications is active packaging owing to the migration of active compounds from edible coating to food, and sustains the safety and functionality of food (Majid et al., 2018). Edible coating economically decreases the cost of packaging by

Correspondence to: [narimanabdelhady@gmail.com](mailto:narimanabdelhady@gmail.com)

using less expensive packaging materials accompanied with overall enhancement of food quality (Nunes et al., 2023).

With its ability to be fortified with a variety of functional additives, the linear structure of cellulose in carboxymethyl cellulose sodium (CMC) produces a flexible, durable, transparent, and stable coating with numerous applications in the food industry (Gregorova et al., 2015). One of the innovative approaches to food preservation that improves quality, safety, and shelf life during storage is the incorporation of a functional substance, like lactoferrin, into an active edible coating (Lisitsyn et al., 2021).

The possible application of lactoferrin (LF), a major iron-glycoprotein present in milk from various mammals and having antibacterial properties against a range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, fungi, and parasites, as a natural food preservative (Superti, 2020). It has a significant antibacterial effect, especially when it is iron-free; it binds to lipomannan, one of the bacterial cell wall components, or it binds to proteins on the surface of bacteria (Kell et al., 2020). LF also has antioxidant, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, immune-modulating, and anti-cancer properties; which have been confirmed by numerous studies that recorded the beneficial effects of LF on the intestinal epithelium through stimulation and developing of the intestinal epithelial cells, which optimizes the digestive processes and absorption of nutrients, and protects against the action of pathogens and food allergens (Weimer et al., 2020; El-Sherbeny and El-Shenawy, 2023).

Lactoferrin has antibacterial properties against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, making it useful in the fight against foodborne pathogens through prevention of the biofilm formation by pathogenic bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, as well as direct inhibition or killing of microbial cells and immune system activation/inhibition (Jahani et al., 2015). Furthermore, because iron is bound by LF, its absence is associated with halting in the bacterial growth, which protects the body from infection (Zarzosa-Moreno et al., 2020).

Therefore, the current study explored the effect of using functional CMC edible coating fortified with lactoferrin on the sensory and bacteriological quality of oriental sausage during refrigeration storage.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1. Materials

Raw fresh lean beef flank cut, fat, goat small intestine, salts and other seasoning ingredients were purchased from supermarkets located in Benha city, Qalubiya governorate. Carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) and lactoferrin (LF) 20.000 IU/mg were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, USA.

### 2.2. Preparation of experimental samples

Sausage was prepared according to Luvele (2023); where, 12 g of garlic powder, 35 g of NaCl salt, and 8 g ground pepper were added for each two kilograms of chopped meat (80% lean meat + 20% fat), mince, and mix well. Prepared mince was divided into four primary groups; where G1: control untreated group (minced meat only), G2: minced meat + 5% lactoferrin, G3: minced meat + 10% lactoferrin, and G4: minced meat + 20% lactoferrin. Followed by thorough mixing and stuffed in a pre-soaked natural casing in brine soln. (2%) and rinsed for eradication of excess salt.

Moreover, a blank and fortified working solution of CMC edible coating (Demetri et al., 2008); which were enriched with lactoferrin (5%, 10% and 20% conc.) (Hussein and El Sayed, 2022), followed by immersing of the filled sausage in it, and was left to dry in the room temperature representing outer edible coating.

Therefore, treated samples can be grouped as the following:

G1: Minced meat without any treatment (control)

G2: 5% treated minced meat + outer CMC-LF edible coat (5%)

G3: 10% treated minced meat + outer CMC-LF edible coat (10%)

G4: 20% treated minced meat + outer CMC-LF edible coat (20%)

Each group were subdivided into equal weights, and kept in the refrigerator ( $4\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) for examination.

### 2.3. Sensory evaluation

Color, odor and texture of the samples were evaluated by five well-trained impartial investigators. Mean values of scores were recorded as overall sensory scores following Mörlein (2019) in scores (1 to 5), where  $\leq 1$ - represented the worst while 5- represented the excellent mark.

### 2.4. Bacterial evaluations

Twenty-five grams of the sausage samples (from the core and intestine included) were prepared, and tenfold serial dilutions according to ISO 6887-1 (2017), counts of total bacteria, coliform, psychrotrophs, and total fungal counts were investigated according to ISO 4833-1 (2022), ISO 17410 (2019), ISO 4832 (2006), and ISO 21527-1 (2008), respectively.

Samples were examined according to clauses 2.3. and 2.4. at zero time (30 min. after dryness of the outer coat), and each three days until appearing of grossly spoilage signs (changes in color and/or odor and/or texture). Furthermore, the experiment was repeated in triplicate.

### 2.5. Statistical analysis

SPSS version 20 was used to analyze the data. The significance of the differences in the mean values of the groups under investigation was determined using ANOVA analysis and the Duncan posthoc value. A significance level of  $p < 0.05$  was deemed significant.

3. RESULTS

The findings shown in Table (1) indicate that the treatment of oriental sausage with lactoferrin has a potential overall enhancement in the sensory quality of sausage samples, especially if used as fortified edible coating, where the treated samples showed a significant longer shelf life in comparison with the control samples which showed spoilage characters after the 6<sup>th</sup> day of storage. Higher lactoferrin concentration gave more acceptable sensory results revealing it concentration-time dependent treatment.

Table (1): Sensory profile of untreated and treated sausage samples in cold storage (4±1°C).

Groups	Tested parameter	G1	G2	G3	G4
Zero day	Color	4.9±0.3	4.9±0.3	4.9±0.3	4.9±0.3
	Odor	4.7±0.3	4.7±0.3	4.7±0.3	4.7±0.3
	Texture	4.8±0.4	4.9±0.4	4.9±0.4	4.9±0.4
	Overall	4.8±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.8±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.8±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.8±0.1 <sup>a</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	Color	3.0±0.2	4.7±0.4	4.7±0.1	4.6±0.5
	Odor	3.3±0.2	4.5±0.2	4.5±0.2	4.5±0.4
	Texture	3.5±0.3	4.8±0.3	4.9±0.3	4.7±0.3
	Overall	3.3±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.6±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.7±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.6±0.1 <sup>a</sup>
6 <sup>th</sup> day	Color	2.0±0.1	4.0±0.1	4.5±0.1	4.4±0.2
	Odor	1.8±0.2	3.9±0.2	4.2±0.2	4.2±0.5
	Texture	2.5±0.3	4.1±0.3	4.6±0.3	4.2±0.3
	Overall	2.1±0.1 <sup>c</sup>	3.6±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.4±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.3±0.1 <sup>a</sup>
9 <sup>th</sup> day	Color	<1	2.5±0.1	4.1±0.1	4.3±0.3
	Odor	<1	2.8±0.2	4.0±0.3	4.1±0.2
	Texture	<1	3.0±0.3	4.3±0.3	4.0±0.2
	Overall	Spoiled	2.8±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.1±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.1±0.1 <sup>a</sup>
12 <sup>th</sup> day	Color	<1	<1	3.5±0.1	3.8±0.1
	Odor	<1	<1	3.2±0.3	3.5±0.3
	Texture	<1	<1	3.8±0.3	3.6±0.3
	Overall	Spoiled	Spoiled	3.5±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	3.6±0.2 <sup>a</sup>
15 <sup>th</sup> day	Color	<1	<1	2.8±0.1	3.2±0.1
	Odor	<1	<1	2.5±0.3	2.8±0.3
	Texture	<1	<1	3.1±0.3	3.0±0.3
	Overall	Spoiled	Spoiled	2.8±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	3.0±0.2 <sup>a</sup>
18 <sup>th</sup> day	Color	<1	<1	<1	2.7±0.1
	Odor	<1	<1	<1	2.3±0.3
	Texture	<1	<1	<1	2.2±0.3
	Overall	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled	2.4±0.1

The values represent Mean ± SE of three experiments. Means within the same row (abcd) followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05). Zero time: 30 min. after outer coat dryness. 4.0-5.0 very good 3.1-3.9 good 2.1-3.0 Acceptable 1.1-2.0 Unacceptable 0.0-1.0 spoiled

As shown in Tables (2-5), a promising significant antimicrobial activity of the treatment technique was observed through significant reduction in the microbial counts and multiplication represented by their count/g of the treated samples. However, all of the examined additives showed antimicrobial activity, 20% lactoferrin treated group had higher inhibitory effect on the microbial growth.

Table (2): Aerobic plate count (log CFU/g) of untreated and treated sausage samples in cold storage (4±1°C).

	Zero day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	9 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	15 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day
G1	5.1±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	6.4±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	7.1±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled
G2	5.1±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	5.0±0.5 <sup>b</sup>	4.8±0.6 <sup>b</sup>	5.6±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled
G3	5.1±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.6±0.4 <sup>c</sup>	4.3±0.6 <sup>c</sup>	5.0±0.6 <sup>b</sup>	5.8±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	6.1±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled
G4	5.1±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.3±0.4 <sup>d</sup>	4.0±0.5 <sup>d</sup>	3.8±0.6 <sup>c</sup>	3.5±0.7 <sup>b</sup>	4.2±0.7 <sup>b</sup>	4.6±0.7

Means within the same column (abcd) followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).

Table (3): Coliform count (log CFU/g) of untreated and treated sausage samples in cold storage (4±1°C).

T	Zero day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	9 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	15 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day
G1	2.6±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	3.0±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	3.7±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled
G2	2.6±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.4±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	2.6±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	3.4±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled
G3	2.6±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	2.1±0.3 <sup>c</sup>	1.8±0.3 <sup>c</sup>	1.4±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.0±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.4±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled
G4	2.6±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	1.6±0.2 <sup>d</sup>	1.4±0.5 <sup>d</sup>	1.0±0.4 <sup>c</sup>	1.2±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.8±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.5±0.4

Means within the same column (abcd) followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).

Table (4): psychrotrophs count (log CFU/g) of untreated and treated sausage samples in cold storage (4±1°C).

T	Zero day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	9 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	15 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day
G1	2.1±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	2.8±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	3.3±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled
G2	2.0±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.8±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.5±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.2±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled
G3	2.0±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.6±0.4 <sup>c</sup>	1.2±0.3 <sup>c</sup>	1.0±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.5±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.8±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled
G4	2.0±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.3±0.3 <sup>d</sup>	1.0±0.1 <sup>d</sup>	<1	1.1±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.5±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.5±0.4

Means within the same column (abcd) followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).

Table (5): Mould and yeast count (log CFU/g) of untreated and treated sausage samples in cold storage (4±1°C).

T	Zero day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	9 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	15 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day
G1	2.2±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	3.1±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.4±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled
G2	2.2±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	2.1±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.0±0.6 <sup>b</sup>	2.8±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled	Spoiled	Spoiled
G3	2.2±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	2.0±0.6 <sup>c</sup>	1.7±0.5 <sup>c</sup>	1.5±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.2±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	2.0±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	Spoiled
G4	2.2±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.9±0.2 <sup>c</sup>	1.5±0.5 <sup>d</sup>	1.3±0.4 <sup>c</sup>	1.0±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.1±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.5±0.4

Means within the same column (abcd) followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).

4. DISCUSSION

Although meat and meat products are great sources of high-quality protein and fat supplements for consumers, they are also frequently contaminated, which creates an environment that is conducive to meat spoilage and even food poisoning (Yu et al., 2021).

Traditional sausages are considered stable meat products because of their pH levels and water activity. Still, special attention must be given to this type of product to avoid the growth of spoilage microbes. Oxygen exposure is the primary cause of this kind of product's quality decline because it causes oxidative rancidity, flavor and color changes, and the growth of aerobic bacteria and fungi. In this sense, it is imperative to stop or severely restrict microbial growth while it is still permissible (Barcenilla et al., 2022).

The shelf life of a food product is the length of time, when stored under recommended conditions, that it can be used safely without losing the necessary sensory, chemical, physical, microbiological, and functional qualities as stated on the label (Man, 2002).

Food quality, safety, and freshness are now highly prized. However, the main element affecting food safety is food packaging. Standard food packaging is frequently made of polymers like polyethylene, polypropylene, polystyrene, or polyethylene terephthalate without any additional functionality (Duncan, 2011).

Lactoferrin is a glycoprotein that can be found in milk and milk products, neutrophil granules, and exocrine secretions in mammals. Because of its capacity to bind iron inside microbial cells,

lactoferrin has the potential to be used in functionally active food packaging (Lisitsyn et al., 2021). It is believed to be safe for human health because of their natural origins, and modern trends favor the use of natural preservatives rather than synthetic ones (Galié et al., 2018). Due to its ability to control free iron levels, LF has bacteriostatic and health-promoting qualities such as promoting bone formation, shielding the intestinal epithelium, and bolstering the immune system (Gomez-Estaca et al., 2010).

Referring to the current sensory evaluation results in Table (1), application of CMC-LF significantly enhanced the sensory profile of the treated sausage samples, which appeared to be dose-dependent where higher concentration gave better results, with significant enhancement in the overall acceptability up to 18<sup>th</sup> of storage in the treated sausage samples with 20% LF edible coating; whereas, control samples revealed spoilage after the 6<sup>th</sup> day of storage. The obtained findings may be referred to the tightly closed fortified CMC coating that provides favorable aroma and texture, accompanied as an oxygen and oil barrier properties to the product (Rad et al., 2021). Moreover, permission of LF migration through the casing into the product may delay the unfavorable changes that may occur (Hashhash, 2023). As was shown, the treated sausage samples with CMC fortified with LF coating extended the storage acceptability through the 12<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> day of storage in the treated groups with 5, 10 and 20% LF concentration, respectively. The obtained results came in line with the recorded results of Hussein and El Sayed (2022) and Hashhash (2023) who reported a significant enhancement in the physico-chemical characters of the treated meat products with LF; while, it does not agree with Lee and Chin (2008) who reported insignificant changes in the sensory characters of the treated sausage samples with LF, that may be attributed to the differences in the storage temperature, time of exposure, and the concentrations of the added LF.

Similar to transferrins, lactoferrin (LF) is a glycoprotein that binds iron, and functions as an essential component of mammals' innate host defense system and has antimicrobial properties against a variety of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Jenssen and Hancock, 2009). In contrast to its bactericidal activity, which is believed to be mediated by binding to or modifying components of bacterial cell walls, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) molecules in Gram-negative bacteria and teichoic (TA) or lipoteichoic (LTA) acids in Gram-positive bacteria, LF has been described to exert a bacteriostatic effect based on its ability to sequester iron (Vorland et al., 1999); which results in depolarization, loss of membrane integrity, and the pH gradient.

Significant antimicrobial effect of the used CMC-LF bio-coating revealed obvious bactericidal effect appeared as significant reductions in the microbial counts, in the treated sausage samples, during first days of storage, as were recorded in Tables (2-5), which may be attributed to the ability of lactoferrin to consume the available free iron, which is essential for microbial growth and metabolism, in competitive manner with the microorganisms; moreover, it, also,

can affect the microbial cell membrane permeability through lipopolysaccharide conjugation leading to cell membrane damage (Superti, 2020). On the other hand, a microbial counts re-elevated in various times of storage, that may be attributed to presence of lactoferrin-resistant microorganisms, as was recorded by Arnold et al. (1980) who quoted that, since organisms of the same species and even of the same strain can differ in susceptibility to lactoferrin, it appears that accessibility to the lactoferrin target site may account for differences in susceptibility. It appears that there may be a relation between virulence and resistance to lactoferrin. In addition, LF, also, can show bacteriostatic properties by holding iron at a lower pH, which is usually occurred in fresh healthy meats, which become of higher pH along with the storage time because of different effects of the enzymatic and microbial degradation of muscular nutrients, and the theory of oxidative stress (Niaz et al., 2019).

Apart from its antibacterial properties, lactoferrin and its derivatives have been found to possess a range of biological properties, such as anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, antiviral, and anti-carcinogenic effects. Since 2001, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has classified LF as Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS). As a result, there has been a growing interest in using LF as a natural bioactive ingredient and preservative in food. These compounds can be sprayed onto carcasses or applied to the surface of beef before final packaging. However, the lack of information regarding these compounds' capacity to act and maintain activity in food, as well as their biodegradation, residual, and health effects, has limited their commercial applications (Naidu, 2001).

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Addition of LF to the raw minced meat accompanied with Carboxy methyl cellulose fortified with lactoferrin (CMC-LF) edible coating proved to be a promising food keeping quality technique in the sausage production during refrigeration storage, with functional enhancing the sensory properties of the treated sausage samples; with controlling the microbial growth revealing the treated sausage safe, consumable meat product of almost long keeping quality. In addition, more investigations have to be conducted on their environmental, health concerns and safety in relation to residual ability and human daily dosage.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Arnold, R.R., Brewer, M., Gauthier, J. 1980. Bactericidal activity of human lactoferrin: sensitivity of a variety of microorganisms. *Infect. Immunol.*, 28, 3 : 893-898.
2. Baptista, R.C., Horita, C.N., Sant'Ana, A.S. 2020 . Natural products with preservative properties for enhancing the microbiological safety and extending the shelf-life of seafood: A review. *Food Res. Int.*, 127: 108762.
3. Barcenilla, C., Álvarez-Ordóñez, A., López, M., Alvseike, O., Prieto, M. 2022 . Microbiological safety and shelf-life of low-salt meat products-A review. *Foods*, 11, 15 : 2331-2341.

4. Behbahani, A.B., Falah, F., Vasiee, A., Yazdi, T. 2021 . Control of microbial growth and lipid oxidation in beef using a *Lepidium perfoliatum* seed mucilage edible coating incorporated with chicory essential oil. *Food Sci. Nutr.*, 9, 5 : 2458-2467.
5. Demitri, C., Del Sole, R., Scalera, F., Sannino, A., Vasapollo, G., Maffezzoli, A., Ambrosio, L., Nicolais, L. 2008 . Novel superabsorbent cellulose-based hydrogels crosslinked with citric acid. *J. Appl. Polymer Sci.*, 110, 4 : 2453-2460.
6. Dévi, G., Gnimabou, D., Christian, K., Brice, K., Farid, B. 2020 . Improvement in sausage manufacturing process and potential use of selected aromatic plants as their bio preservatives in Benin. *GSC Biol. Pharma. Sci.*, 11, 3 : 166-176.
7. Duncan, T.V. 2011 . Applications of nanotechnology in food packaging and food safety: barrier materials, antimicrobials and sensors. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 363: 1–24.
8. El-Sherbeny, E., El-Shenawy, F. 2023 . Effect of lactoferrin alone or in combination with bacitracin on *Clostridium perfringens* infection in rabbits. *Egypt. J. Chem.*, 66, 6 : 13 – 30.
9. Galić, S., García-Gutiérrez, C., Miguélez, E.M., Villar, C.J., Lombó, F. 2018 . Biofilms in the food industry: health aspects and control methods. *Front. Microbiol.*, 9: 898-907.
10. Giromini, C., Givens, D.I. 2022 . Benefits and risks associated with meat consumption during key life processes and in relation to the risk of chronic diseases. *Foods*, 11, 14 : 2063-2071.
11. Gomez-Estaca, J., Lopez de Lacey, A., Lopez-Caballero, M.E., Gomez-Guillen, M.C., Montero, P. 2010 . Biodegradable gelatin-chitosan films incorporated with essential oils as antimicrobial agents for fish preservation. *Food Microbiol.*, 27, 7 : 889-896.
12. Gregorova, A., Saha, N., Kitano, T., Saha, P. 2015 . Hydrothermal effect and mechanical stress properties of carboxymethylcellulose based hydrogel *Food Packag. Carbohydr. Polym.*, 117: 559–568.
13. Hashhash, A. 2023 . Isolation and identification of *Bacillus cereus* in cooked meat products using vitek®2. Thesis, Ph.D. of Vet. Med. Meat Hygiene , Benha Univ., Egypt.
14. Hussein, D., El Sayed, H. 2022 . Impact of different concentrations of lactoferrin on the shelf life of the chilled chicken breast. *Taiwan. J. Agri. Chem. Food Sci.* 60, 3 : 0005. DOI: 10.6578/TJACFS.202209\_60 3\_0005
15. ISO 17410 2019 . Microbiology of the food chain — Horizontal method for the enumeration of psychrotrophic microorganisms.
16. ISO 21527-1 2008 . International Organization for Standardization. No. 21527-1. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs — Horizontal method for the enumeration of yeasts and moulds — Part 1: Colony count technique in products with water activity greater than 0.95.
17. ISO 4832 2006 . International Organization for Standardization. No.4832. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs-horizontal method for the enumeration of coliforms: colony count technique.
18. ISO 4833-1 2022. International Organization for Standardization. No.4833-1, 2013 /A. 2022. Microbiology of the food chain — Horizontal method for the enumeration of microorganisms — Part 1: Colony count at 30 °C by the pour plate technique.
19. ISO 6887-1 2017 . International Organization for Standardization. No.6887-1. Microbiology of the food chain — Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination — Part 1: General rules for the preparation of the initial suspension and decimal dilutions.
20. Jahani, S., Shakiba, A., Jahani, L. 2015 . The antimicrobial effect of lactoferrin on Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. *Int. J. Infect.*, 2, 3 : 27954-27965.
21. Janssen, H., Hancock, R.E. 2009 . Antimicrobial properties of lactoferrin. *Biochem.*, 91: 19–29.
22. Kell, D., Heyden, E., Pretorius, E. 2020 . The biology of lactoferrin, an iron-binding protein that can help defend against viruses and bacteria. *Front. Immunol.*, 11: 1-15.
23. Kumar, A.V., Hasan, M., Mangaraj, S., Pravitha, M., Verma, D., Srivastav, P. 2022 . Trends in edible packaging films and its prospective future in food: A review. *Appl. Food Res.*, 2, 1 : 100118-100130.
24. Lee, H., Chin, K. 2008 . Physicochemical and textural properties, and shelf-life effects of low-fat sausages manufactured with various levels of activated lactoferrin during refrigerated storage. *Korean J. Food Sci. Anim. Res.*, 28, 4 : 408-414.
25. Lisitsyn, A., Semenova, A., Nasonova, V., Polishchuk, E., Revutskaya, N., Kozyrev, I., Kotenkova, E. 2021 . Approaches in animal proteins and natural polysaccharides application for food packaging: Edible film production and quality estimation. *Polymers*, 13: 1592-1611.
26. Luvele 2023 . Made from scratch: Homemade beef sausages. Online source: <https://www.luvele.com/blogs/recipe-blog/made-from-scratch-homemade-beef-sausages>
27. Majid, I., Nayik, G., Mohammad Dar, S., Nanda, V. 2018 . Novel food packaging technologies: Innovations and future prospective. *J. Saudi Society Agri. Sci.*, 17, 4 : 454-462.
28. Man, D. 2002 . Food industry briefing series: Shelf life. Blachwell Science Ltd Ed; UK.
29. Mörlein, D. 2019 . Sensory evaluation of meat and meat products: fundamentals and applications. The 60<sup>th</sup> International Meat Industry Conference IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
30. Naidu, A.S. 2001 . Immobilized lactoferrin antimicrobial agents and the use thereof. US patent 6,172,040 B1.
31. Niaz, B., Saeed, F., Ahmed, A., Imran, M., Maan, M., Iqbal Khan, M., Tufail, T., Anjum, F., Hussain, S., Suleria, H. 2019 . Lactoferrin LF : a natural antimicrobial protein. *Inter. J. Food Properties*, 22, 1 : 1626-1641.
32. Nunes, C., Silva, M., Farinha, D., Sales, H., Pontes, R., Nunes, J. 2023 . Edible coatings and future trends in active food packaging-fruits' and traditional sausages' shelf life increasing. *Foods*, 12, 17 : 3308-3314.
33. Rad, P.S, Dadpour, M., Peighamardoust, S.H., Soltanzadeh, M., Gullón, B., Alirezalu, K., Lorenzo, J.M. 2021 . Application of carboxymethyl cellulose- and pectin-based active edible coatings in preservation of fruits and vegetables: A review. *Trends in Food Sci. Technol.*, 110, 4 : 1345-1367. DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2021.02.025
34. Sridhar, A., Ponnuchamy, M., Kumar, P.S., Kapoor, A. 2021 . Food preservation techniques and nanotechnology for increased shelf life of fruits, vegetables, beverages and spices: A Review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 19: 1715–1735.
35. Superti, V. 2020 . Lactoferrin from bovine milk: A protective companion for Life. *Nutr.*, 12: 2562-2587.
36. Teshome, E., Forsido, S.F., Rupasinghe, H.P., Keyata, E.O. 2022 . Potentials of natural preservatives to enhance food safety and shelf life: A Review. *Sci. World J.*, 2022: 9901018.
37. Vorland, L.H., Ulvatne, H., Rekdal, O., Svendsen, J.S. 1999 . Initial binding sites of antimicrobial peptides in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. *Scandinavian J. Infect. Dis.*, 31: 467–473.

38. Weimer, K., Roark, H., Fisher, K., Cotten, C.M., Kaufman, D.A., Bidegain, M., Permar, S.R. 2020 . Breast milk and saliva lactoferrin levels and postnatal cytomegalovirus Infection. *Amer. J. Perinatol.*, 38: 1070–1077.
39. Yong, H.I., Kim, T.K., Choi, H.D., Jang, H.W., Jung, S., Choi, Y.S. (2021). Clean label meat technology: Pre-converted nitrite as a natural curing. *Food Sci. Anim. Resour.*, 41: 173–184.
40. Yu, H.H., Chin, Y., Paik, H. 2021. Application of natural preservatives for meat and meat products against food-borne pathogens and spoilage bacteria. *Foods*, 10,10: 2418-2425.
41. Zarzosa-Moreno, D., Avalos-Gómez, C., Ramírez- Texcalco, L.S., Torres-López, E., Ramírez-Mondragón, R., Hernández-Ramírez, J.O., Serrano-Luna, J., de la Garza, M. 2020. Lactoferrin and its derived peptides: An alternative for combating virulence mechanisms developed by pathogens. *Mol.*, 25,24: 5763-5769.