Benha Veterinary Medical Journal 47 (2024) 121-124



**Benha Veterinary Medical Journal**

Journal homepage: https://bvmj.journals.ekb.eg/



# *Original Paper*

# **Antibacterial effects of combined slightly acidic electrolyzed water and UV light in chilled fish keeping quality**

**Mohamed A. M. Hamed1,2, Amani M. Salem<sup>1</sup> , Dina M. Zeid<sup>3</sup> , Mohamed Nabil<sup>4</sup>**

*<sup>1</sup> Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt*

*<sup>2</sup> Egyptian National Food Safety Authority*

*<sup>3</sup> Fish Diseases Department, Animal Health Research Institute , Hurghada lab, ARC, Egypt*

*<sup>4</sup> Food Hygiene Department, Animal Health Research Institute , Benha lab, ARC, Egypt*

### **ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT**



## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Fish are vital nutritious component offering a rich source of easily digestible protein, essential minerals and vitamins, and beneficial fatty acids, rich in omega-3 fatty acids, especially fatty varieties like salmon, mackerel, and sardines. Thus, the fish requires proper handling and storage to prevent contamination that affects its shelf life and its compatibility with human consumption (Awuchi et al., 2022).

The integration of slightly acidic electrolyzed water (SAEW) and ultraviolet (UV) light represents an innovative approach to enhancing the shelf life of fish products. This combination can maximize the antimicrobial properties of SAEW and the disinfecting capabilities of UV light to significantly improve food safety and quality (Sheng et al., 2020).

The SAEW is rich in hypochlorous acid, which effectively targets and eliminates a wide range of pathogens, including bacteria and viruses that can compromise fish safety. Further, UV light disrupts microbial DNA and inactivates harmful microorganisms. When SAEW is used in conjunction with UV light can provide a robust barrier against spoilage and contamination (Naka et al., 2020).

The synergistic effect of SAEW and UV light not only extends the shelf life of fish but also maintains its sensory qualities. The previous study applied by Zhong et al. (2024) showed that this combination can minimize oxidative damage by reducing lipid oxidation and preserving color and texture prolonging its freshness. Moreover, this method

aligns with modern food safety standards, offering a chemical-free alternative to traditional preservation techniques

Referring to the recorded data by Lan et al. (2021), UV light can reduce bacterial loads on fish surfaces, enhancing the overall effectiveness of the preservation method when combined with SAEW.

Therefore, the current study investigated the antibacterial and keeping quality effects of SAEW and/or UV application on the treated mackerel fish shelf life during chilling conditions.

## **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The research was performed after approval of Research Ethical Committee, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University (BUFVTM 13-10-24).

### *2.1. Preparation of SAEW (Athayde et al., 2018)*

SAEW was obtained from the Food Hygiene Dept., Animal Health Research Institute. SAEW was prepared in a diaphragmless electrolyzer and has a pH close to neutral (5.0–6.5), a relatively low *ORP* (800–900 mV), and a relatively low  $ACC$  (10–30 mg  $L^{-1}$ ).

## *2.1. Collection and preparation of fish samples*

Twenty frozen mackerel fish samples, with a mean weight of about 177.8  $\pm$  5.0 g, were purchased from a local retail market in Benha City, Kalyobiya governorate, Egypt. The collected fish samples were eviscerated separately in

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: [mhmdvet2010@gmail.com](mailto:mhmdvet2010@gmail.com)

hygienic conditions and then were grouped into 4 groups (5 samples\ group). First group (G1) was dipped in distilled water for 30 minutes as control,  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  group (G2) was soaked in SAEW for 30 min. in the refrigerator (Tolba et al., 2020),  $3<sup>rd</sup>$  group (G3) was exposed to UV ( $\sim$  260 nm) for 20 min about 45cm distance (Akgün and Ünlütürk, 2017); while, the 4 th group (G4) was exposed to UV light, for 10 min, after soaking in SAEW for 30 min.

Control and treated groups were kept on chilling shelves at 4±1<sup>O</sup>C. The sensory, bacteriological, and chemical examinations were performed at day zero (within 30 min after treatment), and then periodically every 48h of cold storage until organoleptic deterioration. The trial was repeated in triplicates.

### *2.3. Sensory evaluation*

The color, odor, texture, and overall score were carried out following Mörlein (2019) in scores (1 to 5), where  $\leq$ 1represented the worst while 5- represented the excellent mark.

#### *2.4. Bacteriological profile*

*2.4.1. Preparation of samples (ISO 6887-2, 2017):* tenfold serial dilution was prepared on sterile peptone water (0.1%); from which the following parameters were examined.

*2.4.2. Aerobic plate count "APC" according to ISO 4833-1*   $(2013)$ : in APC agar and incubated at  $30\pm1$ <sup>O</sup>C for 72h.

*2.4.3. Psychrotrophic bacterial count according to ISO 17410 (2019):* on APC agar and incubated at  $4\pm1$ <sup>O</sup>C for 10 days.

*2.4.3. Coliform count according to ISO 4832 (2006):* in VRBA agar and incubated at  $37\pm1\,^{\circ}$ C for 24h.

*2.4.4. Staphylococcus aureus count according to ISO 6888- 1 (2021):* on Baird Parker agar supplemented with egg yolk tellurite and incubated at  $35+2$ <sup>O</sup>C for 24h.

#### *2.5. Chemical profile*

Total Volatile Nitrogen (TVN) and thiobarbituric acid (TBA) were measured according to the procedure of EOS (2006): ES N. 63-9/2006 and 63-10/2006, respectively.

#### *2.6. Statistical analyses*

The statistical analyses were performed by application of the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test on SPSS software v.20 according to Feldman et al. (2003).

Reduction (%) =  $\frac{(R1-R2)}{R}$  $\frac{(1 - RZ)}{R1}$  x 100, where R1 and R2 indicate the microbial count of control and treated samples, respectively

### **3. RESULTS**

The sensory scores of the treated mackerel samples showed a significant enhancement in the sensory quality that appeared as an elongation in the physical acceptability in relation to the control group; which started spoilage characteristics after the 4th day of storage. G2, while samples treated with dipping in SAEW, showed higher acceptability scores in comparison with that treated with UV light (G3) for the same time of exposure; whereas, G4, which was treated with a combination of SAEW followed by UV radiation, showed the highest acceptability time, where it still acceptable up to 10 days of refrigerated storage (Fig. 1).



Fig. (1). Sensory profile of the examined mackerel fish groups during cold storage  $(4\pm1$ <sup>O</sup>C): if the final quality score is 2, the sample's quality is marginally acceptable. If this score is less than 2, the sample is unacceptable. If this score is less than 1, the sample is apparently spoiled.

Regarding the bacteriological profile of the treated fish samples, Tables 1 to 4 revealed a significant bacteriostatic effect of the applied treatments appeared as significant retardation in the bacterial mean counts ( $log_{10}$  CFU/g) in relation to the control group; whereas, the combination treatment group (G4) showed higher keeping quality regarding the bacteriological quality of the treated samples than G3 and G2, respectively. Table 1 showed that the treated fish samples with SAEW (G2), UV light (G3), and SAEW-UV combination (G4) were still within acceptable limits of APC up to the 6<sup>th</sup> day for G3, and 8<sup>th</sup> day of storage for G2 and G4, respectively; but the control group exceeded the permissible limit of APC  $(1x10^6$ CFU/g) since the  $4<sup>th</sup>$  day of storage; that indicating the positive effect of the applied treatments on the bacteriological counts and the product's shelf life consequently.

Table 1 The aerobic plate count (APC) ( $log_{10}$  CFU/g) of the examined groups during storage at  $4\pm1$ <sup>o</sup>C (Mean $\pm$  SE)

Day	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>4</sub>
Zero-day	$4.5 \pm 0.1^a$	$4.3 + 0.1a$	$4.3 + 0.1a$	$4.1 \pm 0.1^a$
$2nd$ day	$5.6 \pm 0.7^{\rm a}$	$4.5 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	$4.6 + 0.2^b$	$4.3 \pm 0.1$ <sup>d</sup>
$4th$ day	$6.2 \pm 0.5^{\rm a}$	$4.8 + 0.2$ <sup>c</sup>	$5.0 + 0.4b$	$4.5 \pm 0.3$ <sup>d</sup>
$6th$ day	<b>XX</b>	$5.3 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$	$5.5 \pm 0.3^{\rm b}$	$5.0 \pm 0.3$ <sup>c</sup>
8 <sup>th</sup> day	<b>XX</b>	$6.0 \pm 0.3^a$	$6.2 + 0.5^{\rm b}$	$5.6 + 0.4$ °
$10th$ day	XX	XX	XX	$5.9 \pm 0.5$
$12th$ day	<b>XX</b>	<b>XX</b>	XX	XX
			Values with different superscripts within the same row differed significantly at $P < 0.05$ .	

Psychrotrophic bacterial count, also, showed significant retardation in the bacterial growth as a consequence of the applied treatments (Table 2). The reduction effect began from zero days of the experiment, followed by slowly raising in comparison with the control untreated group, in which spoilage signs appeared since the  $4<sup>th</sup>$  day of storage.

Referring to the recorded results of studying the antibacterial effect of the applied treatments on the coliform bacteria, as a fecal contamination indicator, coliform, Table 3 indicated significant inhibition of coliform growth rate; where the treated G4 was still within the acceptable limits up to the  $10<sup>th</sup>$ day of storage; whereas, control group exceeded the permissible limit at the 4th day of storage. On the other hand, G2 and G3 still within limit up to the  $6<sup>th</sup>$  day of chilled storage, respectively.

Table 2 The psychrotrophic count ( $log_{10} CFU/g$ ) of the examined groups during storage at  $4\pm1$ <sup>o</sup>C (Mean± SE)

$\cdots$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$				
Day	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	G4
Zero-day	$2.5 \pm 0.1$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.2 + 0.1a$	$2.3 \pm 0.1^a$	$2.0 \pm 0.1^a$
$2nd$ day	$2.8 \pm 0.3^a$	$2.4 + 0.1$ <sup>c</sup>	$2.5 + 0.2b$	$2.2 + 0.1d$
4 <sup>th</sup> day	$3.5 \pm 0.2^a$	$2.7 + 0.3$ <sup>c</sup>	$2.7 + 0.3b$	$2.5 + 0.1$ <sup>d</sup>
$6th$ day	<b>XX</b>	$3.2 \pm 0.3^a$	$3.4 \pm 0.4^{\rm b}$	$2.9 + 0.2$ <sup>c</sup>
$8th$ day	<b>XX</b>	$3.6 \pm 0.2^a$	$3.8 \pm 0.3^{b}$	$3.4 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$
$10th$ day	XX	XX	<b>XX</b>	$3.9 \pm 0.3$
$12th$ day	XX	XX	XX	XX

Values with different superscripts within the same row differed significantly at *P<* 0.05.

Table 3 The coliform count ( $log_{10}$  CFU/g) of the examined groups during storage at 4±1<sup>o</sup>C (Mean± SE)

Day	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	G <sub>4</sub>
Zero-day	$1.2 \pm 0.1^a$	$1.1 \pm 0.1^a$	$1.2 \pm 0.1^a$	$1.0 \pm 0.1^a$
$2nd$ day	$1.5 \pm 0.1^a$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$ <sup>c</sup>	$1.4 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$1.1 \pm 0.2^d$
4 <sup>th</sup> day	$2.3 \pm 0.1^a$	$1.4 \pm 0.2$ <sup>c</sup>	$1.7 \pm 0.3^{\rm b}$	$1.3 \pm 0.2$ <sup>d</sup>
$6th$ day	XX	$1.7 \pm 0.2^{\text{a}}$	$2.0 \pm 0.3^b$	$1.5 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$
8 <sup>th</sup> day	XX	$2.1 \pm 0.3^a$	$2.3 + 0.2b$	$1.8 \pm 0.2$ <sup>c</sup>
$10th$ day	XX	XX	XX	$2.0 \pm 0.3$
$12th$ day	XX	XX	XX	XX
Values with different superscripts within the same row differed significantly at $P < 0.05$ .				

Regarding the environmental superficial contamination indicator, *S. aureus*, Table 4 revealed a superior inhibitory effect of UV light on *S. aureus* than SAEW; whereas, SAEW-UV light combination revealed a significant synergistic effect on *S. aureus* count with mean values of 3.0, 2.8 and 2.9 for G2, G3 at the  $8<sup>th</sup>$  day of storage, and G4 at the  $10<sup>th</sup>$  day of chilled storage, respectively.

Table 4 The *S. aureus* count ( $log_{10}$  CFU/g) of the examined groups during storage at  $4+1$ <sup>o</sup>C. (Mean± SE)

Dav	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	G <sub>4</sub>
Zero-day	$2.0 \pm 0.1^a$	$1.8 \pm 0.1^a$	$1.7 \pm 0.1^a$	$1.6 \pm 0.1^a$
$2nd$ day	$2.6 \pm 0.1^a$	$2.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$1.8 \pm 0.2^b$	$1.8 \pm 0.1$ <sup>d</sup>
4 <sup>th</sup> day	$3.3 \pm 0.1^a$	$2.3 \pm 0.2$ <sup>c</sup>	$2.1 \pm 0.2^b$	$2.0 \pm 0.1$ <sup>d</sup>
$6th$ day	XX	$2.7 \pm 0.3^{\rm a}$	$2.4 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$2.2 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$
8 <sup>th</sup> day	XX	$3.0 \pm 0.3^a$	$2.8 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	$2.5 \pm 0.1$ °
$10th$ day	XX	XX	XX	$2.9 \pm 0.2$
$12th$ day	XX	<b>XX</b>	XX	XX
Values with different superscripts within the same row differed significantly at $P < 0.05$ .				

Regarding the chemical indicators of keeping quality, Tables 5 & 6 showed that the treatment with SAEW and/or UV light had a significant favorable effect on the keeping quality of the treated fish samples appeared as staying of TVBN and TBA values within the permissible limits up to  $6<sup>th</sup>$  day of chilled storage for G2 and G3; whereas still acceptable up to 8<sup>th</sup> day of storage for SAEW-UV light combination group (G4). On the other hand, control samples exceeded the permissible limits on the 4<sup>th</sup> day of storage.<br>Table 5 The TVB-N (mg/100 g) of control and treated groups during storage at 4±1<sup>o</sup>C

(Mean± SE)

Dav	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	G <sub>4</sub>
Zero-day	$20.1 + 0.5^a$	$20.1 + 0.5^a$	$20.1 \pm 0.5^{\text{a}}$	$20.1 + 0.5^a$
$2nd$ day	$26.5 \pm 0.9^{\rm a}$	$22.8 + 0.6^{\circ}$	$23.4 \pm 0.8^b$	$22.1 \pm 0.6^d$
4 <sup>th</sup> day	$32.5 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$25.4 + 0.5$ <sup>c</sup>	$26.5 + 0.5^{\rm b}$	$24.3 + 0.5^d$
$6th$ day	XX	$28.1 + 0.7a$	$29.2 + 0.6^b$	$26.8 + 0.3^{\circ}$
8 <sup>th</sup> day	XX	$32.2 \pm 0.9^{\rm a}$	$33.5 \pm 0.4^{\rm b}$	$28.2 \pm 0.8$ <sup>c</sup>
$10th$ day	XX	XX	XX	$30.4 \pm 0.9$
$12th$ dav	XX	<b>XX</b>	XX	XX

12<sup>th</sup> day xx can values with different superscripts within the same row differed significantly at *P*< 0.05.

Table 6 The TBA (mg MDA/kg) of control and treated groups during storage at 4±1oC (Mean± SE)

Day	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>4</sub>
Zero-day	$3.1 \pm 0.5^{\rm a}$	$3.1 \pm 0.5^{\rm a}$	$3.1 \pm 0.5^{\rm a}$	$3.1 \pm 0.5^{\rm a}$
$2nd$ day	$4.0 \pm 0.9^a$	$3.3 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$3.7 \pm 0.5^{\rm b}$	$3.2 \pm 0.7$ <sup>d</sup>
$4th$ day	$4.7 \pm 0.8^{\rm a}$	$3.7 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	$4.1 \pm 0.7$ <sup>b</sup>	$3.5 \pm 0.4^d$
$6th$ day	XX	$4.1 \pm 0.7^{\rm a}$	$4.5 \pm 0.8^b$	$3.8 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$
$8th$ day	<b>XX</b>	$4.6 \pm 0.9^a$	$4.8 \pm 0.5^{\rm b}$	$4.1 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$
$10th$ day	XX	XX	XX	$4.5 \pm 0.7$
$12th$ day	XX	XX	XX	XX

Values with different superscripts within the same row differed significantly at *P<* 0.05.

## **4. DISCUSSION**

The innovative technologies that have gained attention in recent years are Slightly Acidic Electrolyzed Water (SAEW) and Ultraviolet (UV) light treatments and both methods aim to reduce microbial contamination while preserving the sensory attributes of fish. Thus, the present study was planned out to investigate the impact of using SAEW and/or UV light on the safety and quality of mackerel fish during refrigeration.

In the present study, the sensory scores of the treated mackerel samples showed a significant enhancement in the sensory quality appeared as a longer physical acceptability while the SAEW-UV combination treatment recorded the highest acceptability scores up to twelve days of chilled

storage which may be attributed to the significant antibacterial effects of SAEW and UV light that sharing in extension of the keeping quality and shelf life of treated fish samples; which was confirmed through recording the antibacterial effect of mackerel fish treatment with SAEW and\or UV light.

SAEW is produced by electrolyzing a diluted saltwater solution, resulting in water that contains a mixture of hypochlorous acid (HOCl) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH). This solution has been shown to possess antimicrobial properties effective against a range of pathogens commonly found in seafood; which was previously recorded by Speranza et al*.* (2021) who indicated that SAEW can significantly reduce microbial loads on fish surfaces, making it a promising alternative to traditional chemical sanitizers, that may be attributed to its ability to disrupt microbial cell membranes, leading to cell lysis and death. On the other hand, SAEW has been reported to cause minimal changes to the sensory attributes of fish products.

Additionally, UV light treatment is another non-thermal technology that has been extensively studied for its effectiveness in food preservation through its powerful antimicrobial efficacy by damaging the DNA of microorganisms, rendering them incapable of reproduction (Tchonkouang et al., 2023). Studies have shown that UV-C can effectively reduce pathogens such as *E. coli* and *L. monocytogenes* on fish fillets (Ahmed and Amin, 2019).

Tables (1-4) demonstrated the bacteriological quality of the control and treated fish samples; and the acceptability of tested fish samples were evaluated following the Egyptian Standard No. 3494 (2020) that noted the maximum permissible limit for APC, coliform and *S. aureus* counts must not not exceed  $1x10^6$ ,  $1x10^2$  and  $1x10^3$  CFU/g, respectively. Depending on the relation to the bacteriological quality of the treated samples, the present recorded inhibitory effect of SAEW combined with UV treatment indicated the powerful synergism between each treatment which came in line with the recorded results by Safwa et al. (2023) who reported that the combination of these two methods has been shown to effectively reduce bacterial pathogens while preserving sensory attributes. Studies suggest that using SAEW in conjunction with UV light can maximize antimicrobial effects while minimizing oxidative damage.

Studying the effects of SAEW and UV light on the chemical indicators of keeping quality, TVBN and TBA, revealed that the treated groups showed significantly better chemical criteria and retardation of protein and fat degradation in relation to the control group, which exceeded the permissible limits within four days of refrigeration. The obtained results may be attributed to the previously noticed significant inhibitory effect of SAEW and UV on the bacterial population of mackerel fish samples that has a direct correlation with the acceleration of protein and fat degradation and raising TVB-N and TBA consequently; which came in line with the recorded attribution mentioned by Speranza et al. (2021).

Concerning the obtained results, combined SAEW-UV treatment revealed synergistic effects as to improve the sensory, bacteriological, and keeping quality of the treated fish samples. The synergistic application of SAEW and UV light presents a novel approach to enhancing both safety and sensory quality (Akther et al., 2023). The combination of these two methods has been shown to effectively reduce bacterial pathogens while minimizing oxidative damage. On another systematic review identified various combinations of UV-C treatments with other technologies, including SAEW, that resulted in improved safety outcomes with little

to no adverse effects on the sensory qualities of fish and meat products (Monteiro et al., 2023).

It is worth noting that UV-C light is a well-known effective technique for reducing microbial loads, but its application must be carefully controlled to prevent the adverse effect of high doses or prolonged exposure that can lead to oxidative degradation of lipids and proteins in seafood, negative impacting color, texture, and flavor. Therefore, combining UV-C with other preservation methods may help mitigate these adverse effects while enhancing safety (Baligad et al., 2023).

### **5. CONCLUSIONS**

The application of SAEW and UV light represents a promising frontier in seafood preservation. Both technologies offer significant advantages in enhancing fish safety without compromising their sensory qualities. Generally, the combined treatment of SAEW and UV light revealed better impacts on the sensory, bacteriological and chemical quality of the treated fish samples as compared to untreated ones. Therefore, it is recommended to accredit this combination as a fish treatment before cold storage for safer and longer shelf-life fish production.

## **6. REFERENCES**

- 1. Ahmed, O.M., Amin, H.F., 2019. Effect of UV- C radiation on survival of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 inoculated fish fillets from fresh water and marine sources. Egypt. J. Aquatic Biol. & Fish., 23, 151-159.
- 2. Akgün, M.P. and Ünlütürk, S., 2017. Effects of ultraviolet light emitting diodes (LEDs) on microbial and enzyme inactivation of apple juice. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 260, 65-74.
- 3. Akther, S., Islam, R., Alam, M., Alam, J., Ahmed, S., 2023. Impact of slightly acidic electrolyzed water in combination with ultrasound and mild heat on safety and quality of fresh cut cauliflower. Postharvest Biol. Technol., 197, 112189.
- 4. Athayde, D.R., Flores, D.R.M., Silva, J.S., Silva, M.S., Genro, A.L.G., Wagner, R., Campagnol, P.C.B., Menezes, C.R., Cichoski, A.J., 2018. Characteristics and use of electrolyzed water in food industries. Int. Food Res. J., 25,1,, 11-16.
- 5. Awuchi, C.G., Chukwu, C.N., Iyiola, A.O., Noreen, S., Morya, S., Adeleye, A.O., Twinomuhwezi, H., Leicht, K., Mitaki, N.B., Okpala, C.O.R., 2022. Bioactive compounds and therapeutics from fish: Revisiting their suitability in functional foods to enhance human wellbeing. Biomed. Res. Int., 2022, 3661866.
- 6. Baligad, J.L., Huang, P.L., Do, Y.Y., 2023. The effects of UV-C irradiation and low temperature treatment on microbial growth and oxidative damage in fresh-cut bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). Horticulturae, 9,10,, 1068.
- 7. EOS, 2006. Egyptian Standards No. 63-10. Methods of examination and testing of meat and meat products: Determination of Thiobarbituric Acid.
- 8. EOS, 2006. Egyptian Standards No. 63-9. Methods of examination and testing of meat and meat products: Determination of Total Volatile Nitrogen compounds. ES. No. 63-9/2006.
- 9. EOS, 2020. Egyptian Standards No. 3494. Chilled fish.
- 10. Feldman, D., Ganon, J., Haffman, R., Simpson, J., 2003. The solution for data analysis and presentation graphics.  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  Ed., Abacus Lancripts, Inc., Berkeley, USA.
- 11. ISO, 2006. International Organization for Standardization. No.4832. Microbiology of the food chain - Horizontal method for the enumeration of coliforms - Colony-count technique.
- 12. ISO, 2013. International Organization for Standardization. No.4833-1. Microbiology of the food chain - Horizontal method for the enumeration of microorganisms - Part 1: Colony count at 30 °C by the pour plate technique.
- 13. ISO, 2017. International Organization for Standardization. No.6887-2. Microbiology of the food chain - Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination — Part 2: Specific rules for the preparation of meat and meat products.
- 14. ISO, 2019. International Organization for Standardization. No.17410. Microbiology of the food chain -Horizontal method for the enumeration of psychrotrophic microorganisms.
- 15. ISO, 2021. International Organization for Standardization No. 6888-1:1999, A1:2021. Microbiology of food and animal feeding Stuffs-Horizontal method for the enumeration of coagulase-positive staphylococci ,*Staphylococcus aureus* and other species,-Part 1: Technique using Baird-Parker agar medium ,includes amendment A1:2021,.
- 16. Lan, W., Lang, A., Zhou, D., Xie, J., 2021. Combined effects of ultrasound and slightly acidic electrolyzed water on quality of sea bass ,*Lateolabrax Japonicus*, fillets during refrigerated storage. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 81, 105854.
- 17. Monteiro, M., Mutz, Y., Francisco, K., Rosário, D., Conte-Junior, C., 2023. Combined UV-C technologies to improve safety and quality of fish and meat products: A systematic review. Foods, 12,10,, 1961.
- 18. Mörlein, D., 2019. Sensory evaluation of meat and meat products: Fundamentals and applications. The 60<sup>th</sup> International Meat Industry Conference MEATCON2019. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
- 19. Naka, A., Yakubo, M., Nakamura, K., Kurahashi, M., 2020. Effectiveness of slightly acidic electrolyzed water on bacteria reduction: in vitro and spray evaluation. Peer J., 8, 8593.
- 20. Safwa, S., Ahmed, T., Talukder, S., Sarker, A., Rana, R., 2023. Applications of non-thermal technologies in food processing industries-A review. J. Agric. Food Res., 100917.
- 21. Sheng, X.W., Bing, S., Lu, C.Q., Yuan, X.Y., Zang, Y.T., Zhan, Z.W., Shu, D.Q., Li, Y.J., Li, M.T., Wu, B.Q., 2020. A combined approach using slightly acidic electrolyzed water and UV exposure to improve egg internal quality during storage. Poult. Sci., 99,11,, 6007-6012.
- 22. Speranza, B., Racioppo, A., Bevilacqua, A., Buzzo, V., Marigliano, P., Mocerino, E., Scognamiglio, R., Corbo, M.R., Scognamiglio, G., Sinigaglia, M., 2021. Innovative preservation methods improving the quality and safety of fish products: Beneficial effects and limits. Foods*,* 10,11,, 2854.
- 23. Tchonkouang, R.D., Lima, A.R., Quintino, A.C., Cristofoli, N.L., Vieira, M.C., 2023. UV-C light: A promising preservation technology for vegetable-based nonsolid food products. Foods, 12, 17, 3227.
- 24. Tolba, K., Ali, H., Omara, N., 2020. Slightly acidic electrolyzed water (SAEW) and its relation with shelf life of chilled shrimps. Anim. Health Res. J., 8, 1-14.
- 25. Zhong, H., Liu, Y., Wei, S., Khan, I., Sun, Q., Wang, Z., Han, Z., Liu, Y., Oh, D., Liu, S., 2024. Antibacterial mechanism of slightly acidic electrolyzed water against *Pseudomonas arsenicoxydans* A1 from greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*). LWT, 194, 115814.