

**Original Paper****Bacteriological studies on *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)**

Ashraf A. Abd El-Tawab, Fatma El Hofy, Aya Ahmed

Bacteriology, Immunology and Mycology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt.

**ARTICLE INFO****Keywords**

*Aeromonas*  
*Bacteriological*  
*Fish*  
*Pseudomonas*  
*Sensitivity tests.*

**Received** 23/08/2019**Accepted** 03/11/2019**Available On-Line**  
12/05/2020**ABSTRACT**

The present study was conducted on 250 diseased Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) of various sizes collected from different fish markets at Kaliobia Governorate, Egypt, during the period from May 2017 to January 2019 for inspection of *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* strains. Samples were collected from apparently pathognomonic lesions in muscle, liver, kidney, intestine and spleen for bacteriological examination. The results revealed that, 161 *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species; 118 *Aeromonas* (73.3%) and 43 *Pseudomonas* species (26.7%) were isolated mostly from 50 muscle lesion samples followed by 41 liver, 35 kidney, 32 intestine and 3 spleen lesion samples. *Aeromonas* strains were highly sensitive to meropenem followed by ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, gentamycin and florphenicol. Meanwhile, they were highly resistant for ampicillin and methicillin followed by oxacillin, penicillin-G, amoxicillin, cefotaxime, oxytetracycline and streptomycin. In addition, *Pseudomonas* strains were highly sensitive to meropenem followed by gentamycin, norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin and florphenicol. In contrast, they were highly resistant for ampicillin, methicillin and penicillin-G followed by amoxicillin, oxacillin and cefotaxime.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Fish diseases due to bacterial infections mainly, *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species were considered the main causes of diseases including ulcerative syndrome leading to high mortalities also can be a problem for human consumers and high economic losses in Egypt (Abdel-Hadi *et al.*, 2008; Shayo *et al.*, 2012 and Hanna *et al.*, 2014).

Members of the *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas*, are Gram-negative rods, either straight or curved facultative anaerobes, catalase-positive and most are motile by polar flagella. Their nutritional requirements are very simple and most grow on common laboratory media. They are widespread in freshwater, sewage, soil and their numbers rise with the amount of organic matter present (Markey *et al.*, 2013). *Aeromonads* produce extracellular enzymes (haemolysins, lipases, proteases,  $\beta$ -lactamases, amylases, chitinases and nucleases) involved in their ecology, survival and pathogenicity (Stratev *et al.*, 2015). In addition, the pathogenicity of *A. hydrophila* strains have been linked to some virulence factors produced by them including structural features associated with adhesion, cell invasion, resistance to phagocytosis as well as extracellular factors such as aerolysin, a pore-forming toxin, which is cytolytic and enterotoxin gene (Chopra and Houston 1999; Rabaan *et al.*, 2001).

*Pseudomonas* (*Ps.*) *aeruginosa* and *Ps. fluorescens* are considered problematic pathogens as they possess cell-

associated virulence factors such as (pili, flagella, lipopolysaccharide and alginate/biofilm). They also produces a number of extracellular products such as protein exotoxin A, proteases, type III secretion system exoenzymes, rhamnolipid, haemolysin with lecithinase activity; elastase (*las B* and *las A*), siderophores (pyochelin, pyocyanin, and pyoverdine by *Ps. aeruginosa* and thioquinolobactin by *Ps. fluorescens*) and phospholipase C. (Mavrodi *et al.*, 2001; Markey *et al.*, 2013). These virulence factors play a role in disease pathogenesis.

As *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* are considered one of the most important fish pathogens and can be a problem for human consumers too, the present study was conducted to throw light over their infection in freshwater fish, Nile-tilapia (*O. niloticus*).

**2. MATERIAL AND METHODS****2.1. Samples collection:**

250 diseased Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) of various sizes were collected from different fish markets at Kaliobia Governorate, Egypt, during the period from May 2017 to January 2019 for bacteriological examination of *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas*

**2.2. Clinical and postmortem examinations**

It was performed using the method described by Schaperdaus *et al.* (1992).

**2.3. Bacteriological examination**

\* Corresponding author: **Prof. Ashraf A. Abd El-Tawab**, Bacteriology, Immunology and Mycology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt.

### 2.3.1. Sampling:

Under complete aseptic conditions 445 samples were collected from apparently pathognomonic lesions in muscle, liver, kidney, intestine and spleen by a number of 139, 118, 99, 70 and 19, respectively.

### 2.3.2. Isolation and identification of suspected *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species:

The surface of lesions was smeared by hot spatula, then a sterilized loopful and inoculated onto Tryptone soya broth and incubated aerobically at 28 °C for 18 hours. A loopful from incubated Tryptone soya broth was streaked onto Tryptone soya agar and MacConkey's agar plates and incubated for 18 hours at 28 °C. The pale colonies on MacConkey's agar and yellowish or creamy in color colonies on Tryptone soya agar were picked up and the following tests (Oxidase test and Catalase test) were performed.

The purified colonies were morphologically identified by Gram stain and biochemical tests (Quinn et al., 2002; Austin and Austin, 2007; Markey et al., 2013). The suspected colonies (that gave Oxidase +ve and Catalase +ve) were taken and cultivated on the following media: *Aeromonas* base agar; Rimler- Shotts agar (R.S.), Eosin methylene blue agar (EMB), Brilliant green agar, XLD agar, *Pseudomonas* agar and *Pseudomonas* Cetrimide agar then incubated for another 18 hours at 25 °C and 28 °C. Suspected colonies were kept in Semi-solid nutrient agar. Moreover, the *in vitro* sensitivity test was done for each isolated bacteria to study its anti-microbial sensitivity according to Koneman et al. (1997).

## 3. RESULTS

The results of bacteriological examination of examined fish and *in-vitro* sensitivity tests for isolated strains were tabulated in tables (1-5).

The clinical examination of the studied fish showed irregular redness all over the fish body especially at the ventral part of abdomen, base of the fins, loss of fin membrane and sometimes loss of fin rays with grey patches at the tip of them (fins rot), and around the anal opening. Others showed eye cloudiness, detached scales, skin ulceration and abdominal distention. Internally, abdominal dropsy with reddish ascetic exudates and congestion of internal organs were observed. Congested intestine that sometimes filled with yellow mucous like materials was noticed. The infected fish showed abdominal dropsy with reddish ascetic exudates, liver paleness and enlarged in some fish and congested with gray patches in other fishes; congested kidneys; congested and enlarged spleen and congested intestine that sometimes filled with yellow exudates like materials in some fish.

The recovered *Aeromonas* isolates in the present study were Gram-negative, straight rods with round end, non-capsulated, non-sporulated. Moreover, they showed yellow to creamy colonies on Tryptone soya agar, pale colonies, and become pink on MacConkey's agar media. On Rimler-Shotts medium (R.S) they produced yellow convex colonies; on *Aeromonas* agar they gave green colonies darker in center than emerging. Only *A. hydrophila* strains gave violet to metallic green sheen colonies on EMB media due to lactose utilization, they gave yellow colonies on Thiosulphate-

citrate-bile-sucrose (T.C.B.S) agar due to fermentation of sucrose.

Biochemical identification showed that all 118 isolates had characteristic biochemical reaction to be *Aeromonas* species, 102 *A. hydrophila* and 16 *A. caviae* where, all *A. hydrophila* isolates were positive for oxidase test, catalase test, indole test, Voges-Proskauer, citrate utilization, lysine decarboxylase with H<sub>2</sub>S production, fermented glucose, mannitol, sucrose and lactose, but they were negative for methyl red, and urease tests. Meanwhile, all *A. caviae* were positive for oxidase test, catalase test, methyl red test, citrate utilization, lysine decarboxylase without H<sub>2</sub>S production, fermented glucose and mannitol, but they were negative for sucrose and lactose fermentation, Voges-Proskauer and urease tests.

*Pseudomonas* isolates are Gram-negative, straight or slightly curved rods. They grew well and showed large, flat, spreading and irregular colonies with greenish-blue coloration on the culture with a characteristic fruity, grape-like odour of aminoacetophenone (*Ps. aeruginosa*) and yellowish colonies (*Ps. fluorescens*) on nutrient agar. Large, pale colonies on MacConkey's agar (unable to utilize lactose) with greenish-blue pigment superimposed. Red colonies on Brilliant green agar, indicative of an alkaline reaction. Red colonies on XLD agar, no H<sub>2</sub>S and no fermentation of sucrose and lactose sugars. Yellowish green colonies *Ps. fluorescens* and bluish green colonies *Ps. aeruginosa* on *Pseudomonas* agar. Small and smooth with blue-green pigmented colonies, *Ps. aeruginosa* on *Pseudomonas* Cetrimide agar.

Biochemical identification showed that all 43 isolates had characteristic biochemical reaction to be *Pseudomonas* species, 29 *Ps. aeruginosa* and 14 *Ps. fluorescens* where, all *Ps. aeruginosa* isolates were positive for oxidase test, catalase test, citrate utilization, urease test, lysine decarboxylase without H<sub>2</sub>S production, fermented glucose and mannitol but they were negative for sucrose and lactose fermentation, indole, Voges-Proskauer and methyl red tests. Meanwhile, all *Ps. fluorescens* were positive for oxidase test, catalase test, citrate utilization, urease test, lysine decarboxylase without H<sub>2</sub>S production, fermented mannitol but they were negative for glucose, sucrose and lactose fermentation, indole, Voges-Proskauer and methyl red tests

Table 1 Prevalence of positive samples for *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species isolation among examined 250 Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) fish

No. of examined lesion samples	No. of Positive samples	Positive percentage		No. of single pure culture				No. of mixed culture	
		%*	%**	Aeromonas spp.		Pseudomonas spp.		No	%**
445	128	51.2	28.8	85	66.4	10	7.8	33	25.8

\*Percentage in relation to number of examined fish samples (250). \*\*Percentage in relation to no. of lesion samples (445). \*\*\*Percentage in relation to no. of positive samples (128)

Table 2 Prevalence and distribution of *Aeromonas* strains isolated from examined lesion samples

Aeromonas species lesion samples	A. hydrophila			A. caviae			Total	
	No.	%*	%**	No.	%*	%**	No.	%**
Muscle	29	28.4	24.6	7	43.8	5.9	36	30.5
Liver	25	24.5	21.2	4	25.0	3.4	29	24.6
Kidney	23	22.6	19.5	3	18.7	2.5	26	22.0
Intestine	22	21.6	18.6	2	12.5	1.7	24	20.3
Spleen	3	2.9	2.5	0	0.0	0.0	3	2.5
Total	102	100.0	86.4	16	100.0	13.6	118	

\*Percentage in relation to number of each *Aeromonas* species isolated (102 and 16). \*\*Percentage in relation to total number of isolated *Aeromonas* species (118)

Table 3. Prevalence and distribution of *Pseudomonas* species isolated from examined samples

Pseudomonas species lesion samples	Ps. aeruginosa			Ps. fluorescens			Total	
	No.	%*	%**	No.	%*	%**	No.	%**
Muscle	11	37.9	25.6	3	21.4	7.0	14	32.6
Liver	7	24.1	16.3	5	35.7	11.6	12	27.9
Kidney	5	17.2	11.6	4	28.6	9.3	9	20.9
Intestine	6	20.7	13.9	2	14.3	4.7	8	18.6
Spleen	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	29		67.4	14		32.6	43	100.0

\*Percentage in relation to number of each *Pseudomonas* species isolated (29 and 14).\*\*Percentage in relation to total number of isolated *Pseudomonas* species (43)Table 4 In-Vitro anti-microbial sensitivity test for isolated *Aeromonas* strains

Antimicrobial agents	Disk concentrations	Sensitive		Intermediate		Resistant		AA	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
		Amoxicillin	AMX/25	25 µg	6	5.1	11		9.3
Ampicillin	AM10	10 µg	0	0.0	2	1.7	116	98.3	R
Cefotaxime	CTX/30	30 µg	5	4.2	12	10.2	101	85.6	R
Ciprofloxacin	CIP/5	5 µg	96	81.4	15	12.7	7	5.9	S
Florphenicol	FFC/30	30 µg	90	76.3	15	12.7	13	11.0	S
Gentamicin	CN/10	10 µg	95	80.5	14	11.9	9	7.6	S
Meropenem	MEM	10 µg	98	83.0	16	13.6	4	3.4	S
Methicillin	ME5	5 µg	2	1.7	0	0.0	116	98.3	R
Norfloxacin	NOR/10	10 µg	96	81.4	13	11.0	9	7.6	S
Oxacillin	OX1	1 µg	0	0.0	3	2.5	115	97.5	R
Oxytetracycline	T/30	30 µg	5	4.2	15	12.7	98	83.1	R
Penicillin-G	P10	10 u	0	0.0	3	2.5	115	97.5	R
Streptomycin	S/10	10 µg	4	3.4	17	14.4	97	82.2	R
Trimethoprim/ Sulphamethoxazol	SXT/25	(1.25/23.75) µg	17	14.4	63	53.4	38	32.2	IS

No.: Number of isolates. AA: Antibiogram activity. %: Percentage in relation to total number of isolates (118)

Table 5 In-Vitro anti-microbial Sensitivity test for isolated *Pseudomonas* strains

Antimicrobial agents	Disk concentrations	Sensitive		Intermediate		Resistant		AA	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
		Amoxicillin	AMX/25	25 µg	1	2.3	3		7.0
Ampicillin	AM10	10 µg	0	0.0	3	7.0	40	93.0	R
Cefotaxime	CTX/30	30 µg	3	7.0	4	9.3	36	83.7	R
Ciprofloxacin	CIP/5	5 µg	31	72.1	8	18.6	4	9.3	S
Florphenicol	FFC/30	30 µg	29	67.4	8	18.6	6	14.0	S
Gentamicin	CN/10	10 µg	33	76.7	7	16.3	3	7.0	S
Meropenem	MEM	10 µg	35	81.4	6	14.0	2	4.6	S
Methicillin	ME5	5 µg	3	7.0	0	0.0	40	93.0	R
Norfloxacin	NOR/10	10 µg	32	74.4	8	18.6	3	7.0	S
Oxacillin	OX1	1 µg	1	2.3	3	7.0	39	90.7	R
Oxytetracycline	T/30	30 µg	5	11.6	28	65.1	10	23.3	IS
Penicillin-G	P10	10 u	0	0.0	3	7.0	40	93.0	R
Streptomycin	S/10	10 µg	4	9.3	27	62.8	12	27.9	IS
Trimethoprim/ Sulphamethoxazol	SXT/25	(1.25/23.75) µg	5	11.6	30	69.8	8	18.6	IS

No.: Number of isolates. %: Percentage in relation to total number of isolates (43). AA: Antibiogram activity

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The results of clinical and postmortem examinations of studied fish were similar to that reported by Loch and Faisal (2010), Kumar and Ramulu (2013), Hanna *et al.* (2014), Noor El-Deen *et al.* (2014), and Abd El Tawab *et al.* (2016). The prevalence of *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species isolation (Table 1) revealed that, 128 out of 250 examined fish (51.2%) were positive for their isolation, where 95 (74.2%) were positive with pure single cultures, 85 *Aeromonas* spp. (66.4%) and 10 *Pseudomonas* spp. (7.8%)

as well as 33 (25.8%) mixed ones. These results came in accordance with that obtained by El-Dien *et al.* (2010), Shayo *et al.* (2012), Abd El Tawab *et al.* (2016) and Abd El Tawab *et al.* (2017). The results of bacteriological examination of examined fish lesion samples revealed that, a total of 161 *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species, 118 *Aeromonas* and 43 *Pseudomonas* species were isolated from muscle, liver, kidney, intestine and spleen lesion samples with numbers of 50, 41, 35, 32 and 3, respectively. Nearly similar results were recorded by El-Hady and Samy (2011), Hanna *et al.* (2014), Ibrahim-Lamis (2015) and Maarouf *et al.* (2017). Regarding to *Aeromonas* species, the recorded

results in (Table 2) cleared that, 118 (73.3 %) *Aeromonas* species were isolated from examined samples, 102 *A. hydrophila* strains (86.4%) and 16 *A. caviae* strains (13.6%). They were isolated mostly from muscle lesion samples 36 (30.5 %) (*A. hydrophila* 24.6% and *A. caviae* 5.9%), followed by liver samples 29 (24.6%) (*A. hydrophila* 21.2% and *A. caviae* 3.4%), then kidney samples 26 (22.0%) (*A. hydrophila* 19.5% and *A. caviae* 2.5%), intestine 24 (20.3 %) (*A. hydrophila* 18.6% and *A. caviae* 1.7%) and spleen samples 3 (2.5 %) that was *A. hydrophila* only. These results agree with those of Mohamed *et al.* (2006), Mahdy (2007), Ibrahim- Lamis (2015), Abd El Tawab *et al.* (2017) and Sayed (2017).

Meanwhile, disagreed with others who recorded lower incidence, El-Dien *et al.* (2010) and Noor El- Deen *et al.* (2014). Meanwhile, for *Pseudomonas* species, the obtained results (Table 3) revealed that, 43 *Pseudomonas* species were isolated from examined samples, 29 *Ps. aeruginosa* strains (67.4%) and 14 *Ps. fluorescens* strains (32.6%). They were mostly isolated from muscle lesion samples 14 (32.6%) (*Ps. aeruginosa* 25.6% and *Ps. fluorescens* 7.0%) followed by liver samples 12 (27.9%) (*Ps. aeruginosa* 16.3% and *Ps. fluorescens* 11.6%) then kidney samples 9 (20.9%) (*Ps. aeruginosa* 11.6% and *Ps. fluorescens* 9.3% %) and 8 intestine lesion samples (18.6 %) (*Ps. aeruginosa* 13.9% and *Ps. fluorescens* 4.7%) but both *Pseudomonas* species failed to be isolated from spleen samples. Nearly similar results were recorded by Eissa *et al.* (2010), Khalil *et al.* (2010), El-Hady and Samy (2011), Hanna *et al.* (2014) and Abd El Tawab *et al.* (2016).

The morphological characteristics of the colonies, Gram staining and the biochemical profile of both *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species isolated such as the fermentation of certain sugars or enzymatic reaction as protease, lipase and extracellular pigmentation production were similar to those previously reported (Jayavignesh *et al.*, 2011; Markey *et al.*, 2013; Panda *et al.*, 2013; Hanna *et al.*, 2014; Abdel-Haq-Fatma El- Zahraa, 2018).

The results of *in vitro* sensitivity tests for 118 isolated *Aeromonas* strains (Table 4) revealed that they were highly sensitive to meropenem followed by ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, gentamycin and florphenicol. Meanwhile, they were intermediate sensitive to trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazol. Moreover, they were highly resistant for ampicillin and methicillin followed by oxacillin, penicillin-G, amoxicillin, cefotaxime, oxytetracycline and streptomycin. Nearly similar results were recorded by Kore *et al.* (2014), Ibrahim- Lamis (2015), Didugu *et al.* (2016) and Abd El Tawab *et al.* (2017). In addition, the results of *in vitro* sensitivity tests for 43 isolated *Pseudomonas* strains (Table 5) appeared that, they were highly sensitive to meropenem followed by gentamycin, norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin and florphenicol. Meanwhile, they were intermediate sensitive to trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazol; oxytetracycline and streptomycin. In contrast, they were highly resistant for ampicillin, methicillin and penicillin-G followed by amoxicillin, oxacillin and cefotaxime. Nearly similar results were recorded by El-Hady and Samy (2011), Hanna *et al.* (2014), Roy *et al.* (2014), Abd El Tawab *et al.* (2016) and Abdel-Haq-Fatma El-Zahraa (2018). The recorded results are of serious concern as these drugs, especially  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, are still considered the most recommended for the treatment of bacterial infections in fish, animals and human. However, their efficiency has

greatly deteriorated due to the production of  $\beta$ -lactamases by resistant bacterial strains.

## 5. CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that, *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas* species specially, *A. hydrophila*, *A. caviae*, *Ps. aeruginosa* and *Ps. fluorescens* strains are important pathogens causes ulcer type disease with ulcerative and septicemic syndrome among fish, they are sensitive to meropenem, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, gentamycin and florphenicol, can be used for treatment of these cases.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Abd El Tawab, A.A.; Maarouf, A.A. and Ahmed, Nesma, M.G. (2016): Detection of Virulence factors of *Pseudomonas* species isolated from fresh water fish by PCR. Benha Vet. Med. J., 30 (1): 199-207.
2. Abd El Tawab, A.A.; Maarouf, A.A.; El Hofy, Fatma,I.; Salim, Amany, O. and El-Mougy.E.A. (2017a): Molecular studies on antibiotic resistant genes of *Aeromonas* species isolated from fish. Nature and Science, 15(12): 90-97.
3. Abd El Tawab, A.A.; Maarouf, A.A.; El Hofy, Fatma,I. and El-Mougy.E.A.(2017b): Detection of some virulence genes in *A. hydrophila* and *A. caviae* isolated from fresh water fishes at Qalubia Governorate. Benha Vet. Med. J., 33(2): 489-503.
4. Abdel-Hadi, Y.M.; Z.M. El-Bouhy and A.S. Diab (2008): Prevalence of some bacterial agents affecting the gills of some cultured fishes in Egypt. Proceedings of the 30th Malaysian Symposium on Microbiology, August 16-19, 2008, Kuantan, Malaysia.
5. Abdel-Haq, Fatma El-Zahraa, M.M. (2018): Control of pathogenic bacteria by antibacterial products produced by Lactic acid bacteria. Master Degree of Science in Botany (Microbiology) Zagazig University Faculty of Science.
6. Chopra, A.K. and Houston, C.W. (1999). Enterotoxins in *Aeromonas*- associated gastroenteritis. *Microbes Infect* 1, 1129-1137.
7. Didugu, H.; Krishnaiah, N.; Rao, Madhava, T. and Ramanipushpa, R.N.(2016): Antibiogram of *Aeromonas* species isolated from livestock products. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology, 5(2): 475 – 478.
8. Eissa, N.M.E.; Abou El-Ghiet, E.N.; Shahan, A.A. and Abbas, A.(2010): Characterization of *Pseudomonas* Species Isolated from Tilapia "*Oreochromis niloticus*" in Qaroun and Wadi-El-Rayan Lakes. *Egypt Global Veterinaria*, 5 (2): 116-121.
9. El-Dien, W.M. S., Enas, M. S., Hanan, M. T. E., Salah, M.A. (2010): prevalence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Yersinia enterocolitica* in some sea food sold In Sharkia Governorate markets and the effect of heat treatment on their viabilities *Bulletin of animal health and production in Africa*, 57 (3):191-197.
10. El-Hady, M.A. and Samy, A.A.(2011): Molecular Typing of *Pseudomonas* Species Isolated from Some Cultured Fishes in Egypt. *Global Veterinaria*, 7 (6): 576-580.
11. Hanna, M.I.; El-Hady, M.A. ; Hanaa ,A.A.; Elmeadawy , S.A .and Kenwy, A.M. (2014): Contribution on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) . *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 5 (5): 575-588.
12. Ibrahim, Lamis, S.A. (2015): Studies on virulent and antibiotic resistant genes of *Aeromonas* species isolated from fish. Ph.D. (Bacteriology, Immunology and Mycology), Fac. Vet. Med., Suez Canal University.
13. Jayavignesh, V.; Sendesh K. K. and Abhijith D. Bhat (2011): Biochemical characterization and cytotoxicity of the *A. hydrophila* isolated from Catfish Arch. Appl. Sci. Res., 3 (3): 85-93 .

14. Khalil, S.A.; Khalil, R.H.; Saad, T.T. and Safaa, M.H.(2010): Studies on *Pseudomonas* Septicemia among Cultured *Oreochromis niloticus*. Journal of the Arabian Aquaculture Society, 5(1):55-64.
15. Konemann, E.; Allen, S.; Janda, W.; Schreckenberger, C. and Winn, W. (1997): Color Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology. Fifth Edition. Lippincott, Philadelphia, New York.
16. Kore, PK.; Kolhe, RP.; Deshpande, PD.; Bhong, CD.; Jadhav, SN.; Nagargoje, AB.; Jagtap, UV.; Dhandore, CV.; Tumlam, UM; Pawar, PD and Khansnis, MW. (2014): Prevalence of antimicrobial resistant *Aeromonas* in chicken and fish washings. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res., 8(27): 2609-2615.
17. Kumar, M.P. and Ramulu, K.S.(2013): Presumptive and definitive identification of *Pseudomonas* from infected *Pangasius hypophthalmus* in culture ponds of West Godavari and Krishna. J. Microbiol. Biotech. Res., 3 (3): 41-45.
18. Loch T.P. and Faisal M. (2010): "Infection of Lake Whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) with Motile *Aeromonas* spp. in the Laurentian Great Lakes" Journal of Great Lakes Research 36 (suppl. 1): 6-12.
19. Maarouf, A.A.; Nassif, Marionette, Z.; Selim, Amany O. and Ali, Enas A.(2017): Bacteriological and molecular studies on *Aeromonas* organisms isolated from some species of fish and its public health hazards. Animal Health Research J. 5 (4 B): 549-559.
20. Mahdy, W.G. (2007): Studies on the use of polymerase chain reaction in diagnosis of bacterial infection caused by *Aeromonas hydrophila* in fish. M. V. Sc. Thesis. Fac. Vet. Med. Zagazig Univ.
21. Markey, B.K.; Leonard, F.C. ; Archambault, M. ; Cullinane, A. and Maguire, D. (2013): Clinical Veterinary Microbiology . Second edition. MOSBY. Elsevier Ltd. Edinburgh London New York Oxford Philadelphia St Louis Sydney Toronto.
22. Mavrodi, D.V.; Bonsall, R.F.; Delaney, S.M.; Soule, M.J.; Phillips, G. and Thomashow, L.S.(2001): Functional analysis of genes for biosynthesis of pyocyanine and phenazine-1-carboxamide from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. J. Bacteriol., 183(21): 6454-6465.
23. Mohamed, L. A., Osman, K. M., El seedy, S. and Soliman, W. (2006): Isolation and characterization of *Aeromonas* species and *Pseudomonas* fluorescence from freshwater fishes. International Conference, Research Division, National Research Center. Pp. 219-229.
24. Noor El Deen, A.E.; Sohad, M. Dorgham; Azza, H.M. Hassan and Hakim, A.S. (2014). Studies on *Aeromonas hydrophila* cultured *Oreochromis niloticus* at Kafr El Sheikh governorate, Egypt with reference to histopathological alterations in some vital organs. World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences 6 (3): 233-240.
25. Panda, S.; Bandyopadhyay, P.K. and Chatterjee, S.N. (2013): Characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PB112 (JN996498) isolated from infected *Labeo bata* (Hamilton) by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis and fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis. African Journal of Biotechnology, 12(4): 400-405.
26. Rabaan, A. A.; Gryllos, I.; Tomas, J. M. and Shaw, J. G. (2001): Motility and the polar flagellum are required for *Aeromonas caviae* adherence to HEp-2 cells. J. Infect. Immun., 69: 4257-4267.
27. Roy, R.P.; Bahadur, M. and Sudip- Barat, S. (2014): Studies on antibiotic resistant activity of *Pseudomonas* spp., isolated from fresh water loach, *Lepidocephalichthys guntea* and water sample of river Lotchka, Darjeeling, India. J. 35(1) : 237-241.
28. Sayed, M.O.K. (2017): Contribution toward prevention of motile *Aeromonas* septicemia in some freshwater fishes. M. V. Sc. Thesis. Fac. Vet. Med. (Fish Diseases and Management), Beni-Suef Univ.
29. Shayo, S. D.; Mwita, C. J. and Hosea, K.M. (2012): Virulence of *Pseudomonas* and *Aeromonas* bacteria recovered from *Oreochromis niloticus* (Perege) from Mtera hydropower Dam Tanzania Annals of Biological Research, 3 (11): 5157-5161.
30. Stratev, D. ; Daskalov, H. and Vashin, I.(2015): Characterization and determination of antimicrobial resistance of  $\beta$ -haemolytic *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). Revue Méd. Vét., 166 (1-2): 54-61