Virulence and resistant genes detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* associated with arthritis in chickens
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**A B S T R A C T**

The present study was planned for bacteriological examination of *S. aureus* associated with arthritis in chickens and characterization the molecular bases of virulence and antimicrobial resistance of isolated strains. In this study a total of 100 chickens (15 – 70 days) with arthritis symptoms, were collected from different chicken farms, swabs were taken from affected hock joints and cultivated on (Brain Heart Infusion broth, Baird Parker media and Manitol Salt agar media) for isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*). The results revealed that 19 (19%) samples were positive for *S. aureus* isolation. Multiple antibiotic-resistant were observed in all *S. aureus* isolates, with the majority of isolates displaying resistance to erythromycin, amoxicillin and cefoxitin with a percent 52.6%, 78.9% and 57.8% respectively. Detection of (*mph*C, *bla*Z and *mec*A) resistant genes demonstrated that (20%) were positive for *mph*C gene, (100%) positive for *bla*Z gene and (40%) positive for *mec*A gene. Amplification of (*clf*A, *spa*, *coa* and *ica*A) virulence genes of *Staphylococcus aureus* by using PCR showed (100%) detection of *clf*A, *spa* and *coa* genes in all tested strains, while (40%) only of tested strains were positive for *ica*A virulence gene. It could be concluded that *S. aureus* is one of the most important pathogenic organisms associated with chicken arthritis in Egypt. Studying of virulence and resistance genes is very important to know the danger and severity of the present microorganisms.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Arthritis is one of the major problems facing the chickens resulting in decrease body weight, emaciation and may death because the affected birds become unable to get feed and water. Arthritis also cause great problem at marketing time as chickens with arthritis would be rejected by the consumer. Septic arthritis is infection of one or more joints that affected by microorganisms. Normally, the degree of infection somewhat depend on the microbe causing the infection and the predisposing risk factors (Huang *et al.*, 2002). The affected joints, usually the hocks, are hot, swollen and painful and the affected birds are usually depressed, lameness and reluctant to walk, the synovial membranes of tendon sheaths became thickened and edematous, with
fibrinous exudate within and around the tendon sheaths (Jordan et al., 2002). Staphylococci have a high affinity for collagen-rich surfaces such as the articular surface of joints, and synovial sheaths located around joints and tendons (Jordan and Pattisson, 1996). The development of antibiotic resistance in many bacterial organisms constitutes serious problems in the control of infectious diseases. Antibiotic misuse has been found to be the most important selecting force in bacterial antibiotic resistance (Okoli, 2006). Antimicrobial resistance genes can be transmitted across species using mobile genetic elements (MGEs), which are DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) fragments that carry both virulence and resistant determinants. Furthermore, they produce enzymes that allow them to be transferred and integrated into a new host’s DNA. The transfer of MGEs among cells is known as horizontal gene transfer (HGT), and it can occur among prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Malachowa and DeLeo, 2010). Assessment of antimicrobial resistance of bacteria at molecular level is a useful tool for understanding the contribution of genetic elements responsible for developing and dissemination of resistance in bacteria (Angulo et al., 2004).

PCR is a powerful molecular biology technique that was introduced to facilitate the detection virulence factors by using DNA probes that detect specific virulence factors (Nataro and Kaper, 1998). S. aureus expresses many potential virulence factors: (1) Surface proteins that promote colonization of host tissues. (2) Invasions that promote bacterial spread in tissues (leukocidin, kinases, and hyaluronidase). (3) Surface factors that inhibit phagocytic engulfment (capsule, Protein A). (4) Biochemical properties that enhance their survival in phagocytes (carotenoid, catalase production). (5) Immunological disguises (Protein A, coagulase, clotting factor). (6) Membrane-damaging toxins that lyse eukaryotic cell membranes (hemolysins, leukotoxin, leukocidin). (7) Exotoxins that damage host tissues or otherwise provokesymptoms of disease (SEA-G, TSST, and ET). (8) Inherent and acquired resistance to antimicrobial agents (Toder, 2005). Clumping factor A(cifA) is fibrinogen – binding surface protein of S.aureus which acts as virulence factor in certain infections by inhibiting phagocytosis, as well as promoting adhesion to fibrin and fibrinogen (Higgins et al., 2006).

The spa gene, which is mostly used for typing of S.aureus, encodes for protein A. The coagulase (coa) gene is another example of a S.aureus virulence gene that is regarded as important, because it plays an essential role in the alliance with other genes to survive inside host cells and to invade immune system cells in the host (Balaban and Rasooly, 2000).

Therefore, the present study was planned for bacteriological examination of S.aureus associated with arthritis in chickens and characterization the virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes of isolated strains.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples collection:
A total of 100 samples from chicken with arthritis symptoms (14-70 days old), were collected from different broilers chicken farms at EL-Gharbia Governorate were subjected to clinical examination as well as for isolation and identification of Staphylococcus aureus.

2.2. Bacteriological examination:
2.2.1. Bacterial isolation
By using sterile scalpels and cotton swabs, swabs were taken from affected joints inoculated on Brain Heart Infusion broth (Oxoid) and incubated aerobically at 37°C for
12-24 hours. A loopful from incubated brain heart broth was streaked on the surface of Baired-Parker medium and Mannitol Salt agar (Oxoid). The plates were incubated for 24-48 hours at 37°C (Quinn et al., 1994).

2.2.2. Morphological examination of *Staphylococcus aureus*
Films were prepared from the suspected pure isolates and stained with Gram's stain then examined microscopically (Cruichshank et al., 1975).

2.2.3. Biochemical identification
Each colony showed typical colonial appearance were subjected to biochemical identification according to Quinn et al., (1994).

2.3. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:
Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed according to the Kirby- Bauer disk diffusion method (Finegold and Martin., 1982), using Muller Hinton broth, Muller Hinton agar and the following antibiotic discs: erythromycin (15 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), amoxicillin/clavulenic acid (30 µg), doxycycline (30 µg), vancomycin (15 µg), cefoxitin (30 µg), and amoxicillin (10 µg), (Oxoid) and the results were interpreted according to the criteria recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute for antimicrobial susceptibility testing CLSI (2011).

2.4. Genotypic characterization by PCR:
2.4.1. Extraction of bacterial DNA
DNA was purified using QIAamp DNA mini kit. PCR Master Mix and cycling conditions of the primers during PCR was prepared according to Emerald Amp GT PCR mastermix (Takara) Code No. RR310A kit. Oligonucleotide primers used in PCR have specific sequence and amplify a specific product as shown in table (1) and (2). DNA samples were amplified in a total of 25 µl as follows: 12.5 µl of Emerald Amp GT PCR Master Mix, 1 µl of each primer of 20 pmol concentrations, 4.5 µl of water, and 6 µl of template DNA. The reaction was performed in a Biometra thermal cycler. Temperature and time conditions of the primers during PCR were applied (table 3). Aliquots of amplified PCR products were electrophoresed in 1.5% agarose gel (ABgene) in 1x TBE buffer at room temperature (Sambrook et al., 1989). For gel analysis, 15 µl of PCR products were loaded in each gel slot. A 100 bp DNA Ladder (QIAGEN Inc, Valencia, CA, USA) was used to determine the fragment sizes. The gel was photographed by a gel documentation system and the data was analyzed through computer software.

3. RESULTS
3.1. Incidence of *S.aureus* in chickens:
In this study out of 100 samples of hock joints with arthritis 19 (19%) were positive for *Staphylococcus aureus* isolation. The recovered isolates in this study showed black small 1mm colonies after 24 hours of incubation and large 2.5 mm colony after 48 hours incubation surrounded by an opalescent ring and a clear zone on Baired Parker medium and yellow color surrounded by yellow halozone with yellow colored medium on Mannitol salt agar medium. Morphological examination of *S. aureus* organisms appeared as Gram positive, grapes like cocci and arranged in clusters. The results of biochemical identification showed characteristic identical biochemical reaction to be *S.aureus*, coagulase positive, catalase positive and oxidase negative.

3.2. Antimicrobial sensitivity test:
Antibiotic sensitivity test revealed that out of 19 isolates, 14/19 (73.6 %) were sensitive for vancomycin, 13/19 (68.4%) were sensitive for amoxycillin/clavulenic acid and 8/19 (42.1%) were sensitive for ciprofloxacin and 6/19 (31.5%) were sensitive for
gentamycin, while 10/19 (52.6%) were resistant to erythromycin, 15/19 (78.9%) were resistant to amoxicillin and 11/19 (57.8%) were resistant to cefoxitin as shown in table (4). All isolates were resistant to an antibiotic, but only 26.3% of isolates were resistant to 3 or more antibiotics which called multi-drug resistant strains.

3.3. Molecular characterization of resistant genes of S. aureus:

Five isolates of multidrug resistant S. aureus isolates were examined for detection of (mphC, blaZ and mecA) resistant genes. The results showed that 1/5 (20%) was positive for mphC gene, 5/5 (100%) were positive for blaZ gene and 2/5 (40%) were positive for mecA gene in tested isolates, as shown in table (5) and figure (1, 2 and 3).

3.4. Molecular characterization of virulence genes of S. aureus:

Five isolates of S. aureus isolates were examined for detection of (clfA, spa, coa and icaA) genes, 5/5 (100%) of isolates were positive for clfA, spa and coa, while only 2/5 (40%) were positive for icaA, as shown in table (5) and figure (4, 5, 6 and 7).

Table 1: Oligonucleotide primers sequences of resistant genes of S. aureus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’ - 3’)</th>
<th>Length of amplified product</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>blaZ</td>
<td>F: ACTTCAACACCTGCTGCTTTC&lt;br&gt;R: TGACCATTTTATCAGCAACC</td>
<td>173 bp</td>
<td>Duranet et al., (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Oligonucleotide primers sequences of virulence genes of S. aureus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’ - 3’)</th>
<th>Length of amplified product</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>icaA</td>
<td>F: CCT AAC TAA CGA AAG GTA G&lt;br&gt;R: AAG ATA TAA CGATAA GTG C</td>
<td>1315 bp</td>
<td>Ciftci et al., (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coa</td>
<td>F: ATA GAG ATG CTA GTA CAG G&lt;br&gt;R: GCT TCC GAT TGT TCG ATG C</td>
<td>630 bp</td>
<td>Iyer and Kumosani, (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spa</td>
<td>F: TCA ACA AAG AAC AAA ATG C&lt;br&gt;R: GCT TCC GGT GCT TGA GAT TC</td>
<td>226 bp</td>
<td>Wada et al., (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clfA</td>
<td>F: GCACAAATCCAGCACCAACCAGGAACGA&lt;br&gt;R: CTTGATCTCCAGCCATAATTGTGG</td>
<td>638 bp</td>
<td>Mason et al., (2001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Temperature and time conditions of the primers during PCR cycles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primary denaturation</th>
<th>Secondary denaturation</th>
<th>Annealing</th>
<th>Extension</th>
<th>No. of cycles</th>
<th>Final extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>meCA</td>
<td>94˚C 5 min.</td>
<td>94˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>50˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>72˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>72˚C 7 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blaZ</td>
<td>94˚C 5 min.</td>
<td>94˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>54˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>72˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>72˚C 7 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>icaA</td>
<td>94˚C 5 min.</td>
<td>94˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>49˚C 40 sec.</td>
<td>72˚C 1 min.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>72˚C 12 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mphC</td>
<td>94˚C 5 min.</td>
<td>94˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>55˚C 40 sec.</td>
<td>72˚C 50 sec.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>72˚C 10 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coa</td>
<td>94˚C 5 min.</td>
<td>94˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>55˚C 40 sec.</td>
<td>72˚C 45 sec.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>72˚C 10 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spa</td>
<td>94˚C 5 min.</td>
<td>94˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>55˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>72˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>72˚C 7 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clfA</td>
<td>94˚C 5 min.</td>
<td>94˚C 30 sec.</td>
<td>55˚C 40 sec.</td>
<td>72˚C 45 sec.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>72˚C 10 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Antimicrobial sensitivity test of isolated S.aureus strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial</th>
<th>Concentration of disc</th>
<th>Sensitive strains</th>
<th>Intermediate strains</th>
<th>Resistant strains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin/Clavulinic acid</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>10 µg</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>5 µg</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoxitin</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>15 µg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>10 µg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>15 µg</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Result of PCR virulence and resistant genes of S.aureus strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.aureus No.</th>
<th>Virulence genes</th>
<th>Resistant genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clfA Spa Coa icaA mphC blaZ meCA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+ + + + - +</td>
<td>+ + + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+ + + + - +</td>
<td>+ + + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+ + + + - -</td>
<td>- + + + -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+ + + + - -</td>
<td>- + + + -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+ + + + - -</td>
<td>- + + + -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Fig.1.** Agarose gel electrophoresis showed amplification of *(blaZ)* gene at 173bp in lane 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5; L: ladder (100-600bp) Pos: positive control (ATCC25923); Neg: negative control.

**Fig.2.** Agarose gel electrophoresis showed amplification of *(mecA)* gene at 310 bp in lane 1, 3, 4 and lane (2 and 5) showed negative result; L: ladder (100-600bp) Pos: positive control (ATCC25923); Neg: negative control.

**Fig.3.** Agarose gel electrophoresis showed amplification of *(mphC)* gene at 722pp in lane (2) while lane (1, 3, 4 and 5) showed negative result; L: ladder (100-1000 bp) Pos: positive control TCC25923); Neg: negative control

**Fig.4.** Agarose gel electrophoresis showed amplification of *(clfA)* gene at 638 bp in lane (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5); L: ladder (100-1000 bp) Pos: positive control (ATCC25923); Neg: negative control.
Fig. 5. Agarose gel electrophoresis showed amplification of (ica) gene at 1315 bp in lane (1 and 2) while lane (3, 4 and 5) showed negative result; L: ladder (100-1500 bp) Pos: positive control (ATCC25923). Neg: negative control.

Fig. 6. Agarose gel electrophoresis showed amplification of (spa) gene at 226 bp in lane (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5); L: ladder (100-600 bp) Pos: positive control (ATCC25923); Neg: negative control.

Fig. 7. Agarose gel electrophoresis showed amplification of (coa) gene at 630 bp in lane (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5); L: ladder (100-1000 bp) Pos: positive control (ATCC25923); Neg: negative control.

4. DISCUSSION

*Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the most common causes of bacterial arthritis in broiler chickens. They recorded a high mortality in broilers with lesion of swollen joints, gaseous exudates, cartilage injury, and synovial membrane thickening with infiltration of inflammatory cells (Gu et al., 2013).

The incidence of *S.aureus* in this study was (19%). This result agreed with Joiner et al., (2005) who demonstrated that 20.4% of commercial flocks had typical bacterial skeletal lesions were primarily associated with *Staphylococcus aureus*, and also agreed with Wladyka et al., (2011) who stated that the incidence rate of staphylococcosis in chickens ranges from 0.5 to 20%. On the other hand, the current result disagreed with Rasheed, (2011) who isolated a higher percent 50.98% of *S.aureus* from broiler chickens suffering from arthritis. The difference in percentage of isolation could be attributed to many factors, some related to birds such as age, immune
status and medication during sample collection. Other factors might be related to difference in hygienic measure inside farms.

Extensive and misuse of antimicrobial drugs in poultry industry is the main cause of resistance to commonly used antibiotics and rising of multi-drug resistant strains Sharada et al. (2009). In the present study S. aureus isolates showed high rates of resistance to erythromycin, amoxicillin and cefoxitin with a percent 52.6%, 78.9% and 57.8% respectively. This results were disagree with Aml et al., (2018) who demonstrated that 93.8% of S. aureus isolates were resistant to cefoxitin. Moreover, 90.1% and 87.6% of isolates were resistant to penicillin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, respectively.

The differences in resistance patterns are widely due to factors which include differences in geographical locations, particular bacteria species involved, the animal production systems, the extent to which antibiotics are used, sampling techniques and period of sampling (Adzitey et al., 2015).

The molecular investigations of resistance mechanisms of multidrug resistant S. aureus isolates to amoxicillin, erythromycin and cefoxitin antimicrobials could be explained by the presence of blaZ, mphC and mecA genes in the tested isolates with a percent (100%),(20%)(and 40%) respectively.

blaZ gene was positively amplified in all 5 tested isolates with a percent (100%), this result confirmed the result of antimicrobial sensitivity test in which all 5 tested isolates were resistant to amoxicillin. mphC gene was amplified in only 1 isolate of five tested isolates with a percent (20%). This result was dissimilar to the result of antimicrobial susceptibility test in which all 5 isolates were resistant to erythromycin. This difference between those results may be related to the different mechanisms (other than mphC) for the resistance of S. aureus to macrolides, or may due to presence of other mechanism of resistance such as efflux pump activity which responsible for a significant loss of antibiotic susceptibility (Davin-Regli et al., 2008). The mecA gene was positively amplified in 2 isolates out of 5 resistant S. aureus strains with a percent (40%). This result also was dissimilar to the result of antimicrobial susceptibility test. This was clarified by Mathews et al., (2010) who reported two types of strains that show phenotypic resistance to oxacillin however they don’t harbor the mecA gene. The 1st type of those two strains is called borderline oxacillin resistant S. aureus (BORSA) which hyper produces beta-lactamase and while they appeared as oxacillin resistant, do not possess the usual genetic mechanism for such resistance. They reported also that another type of strains known as modified S. aureus (MODSA) which possess a modification of existing penicillin binding proteins rather than the acquisition of a new PBP as is the mechanism for classical MRSA.

Staphylococcal infections are usually associated with the presence of virulence genes. The bacterial adhesion thought to be an important step in the beginning of the infections. can, fnb A and clf A were the most important staphylococcal adhesins (Arciola et al., 2005). In the present study the result of PCR of virulence genes revealed that presence of clfA, spa and coa in all tested samples with a percent (100%) but icaA gene could be detected in 40% only of tested samples, this result agree with Kalorey et al., (2007) who found clfA gene in all tested isolates with percentage of 100%, and disagree with Tawfik et al., (2016) who stated that the percentage of clfA gene was 37.5%, other result recorded by Momtaz et al., (2013) who reported that clfA, spa and coa detected with a percent 76.82%, 26.82% and 63.41%, respectively. In the present study icaA gene could be detected
in 40% only of tested samples, this result disagree with Bnyan, (2013) who stated that icaA gene could be detected in 100% of all isolates.

5. Conclusion

Based on the present study, it could be concluded that Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most important pathogenic organisms associated with arthritis in Egypt.

The high incidence of antibiotic resistant Staphylococcus aureus among broilers chicken which were detected in the current study confirmed the misuse and abuse of antibiotics in broiler farms which will accelerate the development and spreading of antibiotics resistant bacteria not only between chickens but also in the environment or even to the human being. So the restriction of the antibiotics usage in poultry farms is needed. Moreover, this study reported the presence of at least three virulence genes detect the pathogenic importance of isolated strains.
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